

Non-Parametric Parametricity (Technical Appendix)

Georg Neis
neis@mpi-sws.org

Derek Dreyer
dreyer@mpi-sws.org

Andreas Rossberg
rossberg@mpi-sws.org

March 2, 2009

Contents

1	The Language \mathbf{G}	2
1.1	Syntax and Semantics	2
1.2	Structural Properties	5
1.3	Soundness	6
1.4	Contextual Approximation	7
2	Parameterized Logical Relation for \mathbf{G}	8
2.1	Minor Differences Between Paper and Appendix	8
2.2	Definition	8
2.3	Properties	11
2.4	Wrapping	28
2.5	Relating the Relations	28
3	Examples	34
3.1	Semaphore ADT, \exists -version	34
3.2	Semaphore ADT, \forall -version	35
3.3	Double Effect	36
3.4	Effect Order	38
3.5	A Free Theorem	39

1 The Language G

1.1 Syntax and Semantics

Syntax

(variables)	$\alpha \in \text{TVar}$
(types)	$\tau ::= \alpha \mid b \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \forall \alpha. \tau \mid \exists \alpha. \tau$
(values)	$v ::= x \mid c \mid \langle v, v \rangle \mid \lambda x: \tau. e \mid \Lambda \alpha. e \mid \text{pack } \langle \tau, v \rangle \text{ as } \tau$
(expressions)	$e ::= v \mid \langle e, e \rangle \mid e.1 \mid e.2 \mid ee \mid e\tau \mid \text{pack } \langle \tau, v \rangle \text{ as } \tau \mid$ $\text{unpack } \langle \alpha, x \rangle = e \text{ in } e \mid \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau \text{ in } e \mid \text{cast } \tau \tau$
(contexts)	$C ::= [-] \mid \langle C, e \rangle \mid \langle e, C \rangle \mid C.1 \mid C.2 \mid \lambda x: \tau. C \mid Ce \mid eC \mid$ $\Lambda \alpha. C \mid C\tau \mid \text{pack } \langle \tau, C \rangle \mid \text{unpack } \langle \alpha, x \rangle = C \text{ in } e \mid$ $\text{unpack } \langle \alpha, x \rangle = e \text{ in } C \mid \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau \text{ in } C$
(stores)	$\sigma ::= \epsilon \mid \sigma, \alpha \approx \tau$
(type environments)	$\Delta ::= \epsilon \mid \Delta, \alpha \mid \Delta, \alpha \approx \tau$
(value environments)	$\Gamma ::= \epsilon \mid \Gamma, x: \tau$
(type substitutions)	$\delta ::= \emptyset \mid \delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau$
(value substitutions)	$\gamma ::= \emptyset \mid \gamma, x \mapsto v$

Reduction

$$\boxed{\sigma; e \hookrightarrow \sigma; e}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{(RPROJ1.1)} \frac{}{\sigma; \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle.1 \hookrightarrow \sigma; v_1} \quad \text{(RPROJ2.1)} \frac{}{\sigma; \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle.2 \hookrightarrow \sigma; v_2} \\
\text{(RAPP)} \frac{}{\sigma; (\lambda x: \tau. e) v \hookrightarrow \sigma; e[v/x]} \quad \text{(RINST)} \frac{}{\sigma; (\Lambda \alpha. e) \tau \hookrightarrow \sigma; e[\tau/\alpha]} \\
\text{(RUNPACK)} \frac{}{\sigma; \text{unpack } \langle \alpha, x \rangle = (\text{pack } \langle \tau, v \rangle \text{ as } \tau') \text{ in } e \hookrightarrow \sigma; e[\tau/\alpha][v/x]} \\
\text{(RPAIR.1)} \frac{\sigma; e_1 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'_1}{\sigma; \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \hookrightarrow \sigma'; \langle e'_1, e_2 \rangle} \quad \text{(RPAIR.2)} \frac{\sigma; e_2 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'_2}{\sigma; \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \hookrightarrow \sigma'; \langle e_1, e'_2 \rangle} \\
\text{(RPROJ1.2)} \frac{\sigma; e \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'}{\sigma; e.1 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'.1} \quad \text{(RPROJ2.2)} \frac{\sigma; e \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'}{\sigma; e.2 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'.2} \\
\text{(RAPP.1)} \frac{\sigma; e_1 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'_1}{\sigma; e_1 e_2 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'_1 e_2} \quad \text{(RAPP.2)} \frac{\sigma; e_2 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'_2}{\sigma; v e_2 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; v e'_2} \\
\text{(RINST.1)} \frac{\sigma; e \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'}{\sigma; e\tau \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'\tau} \quad \text{(RPACK.1)} \frac{\sigma; e \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'}{\sigma; \text{pack } \langle \tau, e \rangle \text{ as } \tau' \hookrightarrow \sigma'; \text{pack } \langle \tau, e' \rangle \text{ as } \tau'} \\
\text{(RUNPACK.1)} \frac{\sigma; e_1 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'_1}{\sigma; \text{unpack } \langle \alpha, x \rangle = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \hookrightarrow \sigma'; \text{unpack } \langle \alpha, x \rangle = e'_1 \text{ in } e_2} \\
\text{(RNEW)} \frac{\alpha' \notin \text{dom}(\sigma)}{\sigma; \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau \text{ in } e \hookrightarrow \sigma, \alpha' \approx \tau; e[\alpha'/\alpha]}
\end{array}$$

$$\text{(RCAST.1)} \frac{}{\sigma; \text{cast } \tau \tau \hookrightarrow \sigma; \lambda x_1:\tau. \lambda x_2:\tau. x_1}$$

$$\text{(RCAST.2)} \frac{\tau_1 \neq \tau_2}{\sigma; \text{cast } \tau_1 \tau_2 \hookrightarrow \lambda x_1:\tau_1. \lambda x_2:\tau_2. x_2}$$

Type Environments

$\vdash \Delta$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \epsilon} \quad \frac{\vdash \Delta \quad \alpha \notin \text{dom}(\Delta)}{\vdash \Delta, \alpha} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash \tau \quad \alpha \notin \text{dom}(\Delta)}{\vdash \Delta, \alpha \approx \tau}$$

Value Environments

$\Delta \vdash \Gamma$

$$\frac{\vdash \Delta}{\Delta \vdash \epsilon} \quad \frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \quad \Delta \vdash \tau \quad x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)}{\Delta \vdash \Gamma, x:\tau}$$

Types

$\Delta \vdash \tau$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(TVAR)} \frac{\vdash \Delta \quad \alpha \in \Delta}{\Delta \vdash \alpha} \quad \text{(TNAME)} \frac{\vdash \Delta \quad \alpha \approx \tau \in \Delta}{\Delta \vdash \alpha} \\ \text{(TBASE)} \frac{\vdash \Delta}{\Delta \vdash b} \quad \text{(TTIMES)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2}{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2} \quad \text{(TARR)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2}{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \\ \text{(TALL)} \frac{\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau}{\Delta \vdash \forall \alpha. \tau} \quad \text{(TEXISTS)} \frac{\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau}{\Delta \vdash \exists \alpha. \tau} \end{array}$$

Type Compatibility

$\Delta \vdash \tau \approx \tau'$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(QVAR)} \frac{\vdash \Delta \quad \alpha \in \Delta}{\Delta \vdash \alpha \approx \alpha} \quad \text{(QNAME)} \frac{\vdash \Delta \quad \alpha \approx \tau \in \Delta}{\Delta \vdash \alpha \approx \tau} \quad \text{(QBASE)} \frac{\vdash \Delta}{\Delta \vdash b \approx b} \\ \text{(QTIMES)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2 \approx \tau'_2}{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \approx \tau'_1 \times \tau'_2} \quad \text{(QARR)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \approx \tau'_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2 \approx \tau'_2}{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \approx \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau'_2} \\ \text{(QALL)} \frac{\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau \approx \tau'}{\Delta \vdash \forall \alpha. \tau \approx \forall \alpha. \tau'} \quad \text{(QEXISTS)} \frac{\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau \approx \tau'}{\Delta \vdash \exists \alpha. \tau \approx \exists \alpha. \tau'} \\ \text{(QSYM)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \tau' \approx \tau}{\Delta \vdash \tau \approx \tau'} \quad \text{(QTRANS)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \tau \approx \tau'' \quad \Delta \vdash \tau'' \approx \tau'}{\Delta \vdash \tau \approx \tau'} \end{array}$$

Expressions

 $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{(EVAR)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \quad x : \tau \in \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad \text{(ECON)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash c : b} \\
\text{(EPAIR)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle : \tau_1 \times \tau_2} \quad \text{(EPROJ)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e.i : \tau_i} \\
\text{(EABS)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \tau_1. e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \text{(EAPP)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau \quad \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau} \\
\text{(EGEN)} \frac{\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \alpha. e : \forall \alpha. \tau} \quad \text{(EINST)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha. \tau \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \tau_2 : \tau[\tau_2/\alpha]} \\
\text{(EPACK)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau[\tau_1/\alpha] \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_1}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{pack}\langle \tau_1, e \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau : \exists \alpha. \tau} \\
\text{(EUNPACK)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \exists \alpha. \tau_1 \quad \Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau \quad \Delta \vdash \tau}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau} \\
\text{(ENEW)} \frac{\Delta, \alpha \approx \tau'; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \quad \Delta \vdash \tau \quad \Delta \vdash \Gamma}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e : \tau} \quad \text{(ECAST)} \frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_1 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{cast } \tau_1 \tau_2 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2} \\
\text{(ECONV)} \frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau' \quad \Delta \vdash \tau \approx \tau'}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}
\end{array}$$

Configurations

 $\vdash \sigma; e : \tau$

$$\frac{\Delta = \sigma \quad \Delta; \epsilon \vdash e : \tau \quad \epsilon \vdash \tau}{\vdash \sigma; e : \tau}$$

Contexts

 $C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau)$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{(CEMPTY)} \frac{\Delta' \supseteq \Delta \quad \Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma \quad \Delta' \vdash \Gamma'}{[\] : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau)} \\
\text{(CABS)} \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma', x : \tau_1; \tau_2)}{\lambda x : \tau_1. C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2)} \\
\text{(CPAIR.1)} \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau_2}{\langle C, e \rangle : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1 \times \tau_2)} \\
\text{(CPAIR.2)} \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_2) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau_1}{\langle e, C \rangle : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1 \times \tau_2)} \\
\text{(CPROJ)} \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1 \times \tau_2)}{C.i : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_i)}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
(\text{CAPP.1}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau_1}{C e : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_2)} \\
(\text{CAPP.2}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}{e C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_2)} \\
(\text{CGEN}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta', \alpha; \Gamma'; \tau')}{\Lambda \alpha. C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \forall \alpha. \tau')} \\
(\text{CINST}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \forall \alpha. \tau_1) \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau_2}{C \tau_2 : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha])} \\
(\text{CPACK}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha]) \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau_2}{\text{pack}(\tau_2, C) : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \exists \alpha. \tau_1)} \\
(\text{CUNPACK.1}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \exists \alpha. \tau_1) \quad \Delta', \alpha; \Gamma', x: \tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau_2}{\text{unpack}(\alpha, x) = C \text{ in } e : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_2)} \\
(\text{CUNPACK.2}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta', \alpha; \Gamma', x: \tau_1; \tau_2) \quad \Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e : \exists \alpha. \tau_1 \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau_2}{\text{unpack}(\alpha, x) = e \text{ in } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_2)} \\
(\text{CNEW}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta', \alpha \approx \tau_1; \Gamma'; \tau_2) \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau_2 \quad \Delta' \vdash \Gamma'}{\text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau_2)} \\
(\text{CCONV}) \frac{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau') \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau' \approx \tau''}{C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau'')}
\end{array}$$

Type Substitutions

$$\boxed{\Delta \vdash \delta : \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Delta'}{\Delta' \vdash \emptyset : \epsilon} \quad \frac{\Delta' \vdash \delta : \Delta \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau}{\Delta' \vdash \delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau : \Delta, \alpha} \quad \frac{\Delta' \vdash \delta : \Delta \quad \Delta' \vdash \tau' \approx \tau}{\Delta' \vdash \delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau' : \Delta, \alpha \approx \tau}$$

Value Substitutions

$$\boxed{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \gamma : \Gamma}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \Gamma'}{\Delta; \Gamma' \vdash \emptyset : \epsilon} \quad \frac{\Delta; \Gamma' \vdash \gamma : \Gamma \quad \Delta; \Gamma' \vdash v : \tau}{\Delta; \Gamma' \vdash \gamma, x \mapsto v : \Gamma, x: \tau}$$

1.2 Structural Properties

Lemma 1.1 (Weakening)

1. If $\Delta \vdash \tau$ and $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta$ and $\vdash \Delta'$, then $\Delta' \vdash \tau$.
2. If $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ and $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta$ and $\vdash \Delta'$, then $\Delta' \vdash \Gamma$.
3. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta$ and $\vdash \Delta'$, then $\Delta'; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.
4. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma$ and $\Delta \vdash \Gamma'$, then $\Delta; \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau$.

Proof: Each by induction on the first derivation. ■

Lemma 1.2 (Substitution)

1. If $\Delta \vdash \tau$ and $\Delta' \vdash \delta : \Delta$, then $\Delta' \vdash \delta(\tau)$.
2. If $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ and $\Delta' \vdash \delta : \Delta$, then $\Delta' \vdash \delta(\Gamma)$.
3. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta' \vdash \delta : \Delta$, then $\Delta'; \delta(\Gamma) \vdash \delta(e) : \delta(\tau)$.
4. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta; \Gamma' \vdash \gamma : \Gamma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma' \vdash \gamma(e) : \tau$.

Proof: Each by induction on the first derivation. ■

Lemma 1.3 (Validity)

1. If $\Delta \vdash \tau$, then $\vdash \Delta$.
2. If $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$, then $\vdash \Delta$.
3. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, then $\vdash \Delta$ and $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau$.

Proof: Each by induction on the derivation. ■

Lemma 1.4 (Variable Containment)

1. If $\Delta \vdash \tau$ and $\alpha \in \text{ftv}(\tau)$, then $\alpha \in \Delta$.
2. If $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ and $\alpha \in \text{ftv}(\Gamma)$, then $\alpha \in \Delta$.
3. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\alpha \in \text{ftv}(\Gamma) \cup \text{ftv}(e) \cup \text{ftv}(\tau)$, then $\alpha \in \Delta$.
4. If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $x \in \text{fv}(e)$, then $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$.

Proof: Each by induction on the derivation. ■

1.3 Soundness

Lemma 1.5 (Preservation)

If $\sigma; e \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'$ and $\vdash \sigma; e : \tau$, then $\vdash \sigma'; e' : \tau$.

Proof: By induction on the first derivation. ■

Lemma 1.6 (Canonical Values)

Assume $\vdash \sigma; v : \tau$. Then:

1. If $\tau = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$, then $v = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$.
2. If $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$, then $v = \lambda x:\tau_1.e$.
3. If $\tau = \forall\alpha.\tau_1$, then $v = \Lambda\alpha.e$.
4. If $\tau = \exists\alpha.\tau_1$, then $v = \text{pack } \langle \tau_2, v_1 \rangle \text{ as } \tau$.

Proof: By induction on the derivation. ■

Lemma 1.7 (Progress)

If $\vdash \sigma; e : \tau$ and $e \neq v$, then $\sigma; e \hookrightarrow \sigma'; e'$.

Proof: By induction on the derivation. ■

1.4 Contextual Approximation

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \preceq e_2 : \tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \wedge \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \wedge \forall \vdash \sigma. \forall C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\sigma; \epsilon; \tau'). \sigma; C[e_1] \downarrow \implies \sigma; C[e_2] \downarrow$$

2 Parameterized Logical Relation for G

2.1 Minor Differences Between Paper and Appendix

There are some minor differences between the definitions in this appendix and the ones in the paper.

1. In the paper, one logical relation is presented after the other. Here we present all four relations at once, combined into a unified definition that uses a parameter ι ranging over \bullet (the *proper* relation), \circ , $+$, and $-$.
2. In the definition of the logical relation, the paper uses the \triangleright operator to make it clear where we need to go down a step. Here we are still using an explicit quantification over future worlds in those places, which is equivalent in the end but has the disadvantage that it is not immediately obvious to the reader whether a particular quantification is needed to maintain monotonicity or to maintain well-foundedness.
3. In the definition of World and $T[[\Omega]]$ (and thus in many of the proofs), the appendix still uses $\sigma^*(\tau)$ (defined below) to normalise a type τ with respect to a store σ . The paper instead indirectly, i.e., without using the notation σ^* , requires the existence of a type τ' that equals $\sigma^*(\tau)$ and then works with τ' .

2.2 Definition

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^* &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset \\ (\sigma, \alpha)^* &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma^* \\ (\sigma, \alpha \approx \tau)^* &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma^*, \alpha \mapsto \sigma^*(\tau)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Typ}_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\tau \mid \text{fv}(\tau) \subseteq A\} \\ \text{Atom}_n[\tau_1, \tau_2] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, e_1, e_2) \mid k < n \wedge w \in \text{World}_k \wedge \vdash w.\sigma_1; e_1 : \tau_1 \wedge \vdash w.\sigma_2; e_2 : \tau_2\} \\ \text{Rel}_n[\tau_1, \tau_2] &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{R \subseteq \text{Atom}_n^{\text{val}}[\tau_1, \tau_2] \mid \forall (k, w, v_1, v_2) \in R, (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w). (k', w', v_1, v_2) \in R\} \\ \text{SomeRel}_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, R) \mid \tau_1 \in \text{Typ}_\emptyset \wedge \tau_2 \in \text{Typ}_\emptyset \wedge R \in \text{Rel}_n[\tau_1, \tau_2]\} \\ \text{Interp}_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\rho \in \text{TVar} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \text{SomeRel}_n\} \\ \text{Conc} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\eta \in \text{TVar} \xrightarrow{\text{fin}} \text{TVar} \times \text{TVar} \mid \forall \alpha, \alpha' \in \text{dom}(\eta). \alpha \neq \alpha' \implies \\ &\quad \eta^1(\alpha) \neq \eta^1(\alpha') \wedge \eta^2(\alpha) \neq \eta^2(\alpha')\} \\ \text{World}_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \eta, \rho) \mid \vdash \sigma_1 \wedge \vdash \sigma_2 \wedge \eta \in \text{Conc} \wedge \rho \in \text{Interp}_n \wedge \\ &\quad \text{dom}(\eta) = \text{dom}(\rho) \wedge \rho^1 = \sigma_1^* \cdot \eta^1 \wedge \rho^2 = \sigma_2^* \cdot \eta^2\} \\ \text{Interp} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{Interp}_n \\ \text{World} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{World}_n\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[R]_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, v_1, v_2) \mid k < n \wedge (k, w, v_1, v_2) \in R\} \\
[(\tau_1, \tau_2, R)]_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\tau_1, \tau_2, [R]_n) \\
[\rho]_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\alpha \mapsto [r]_n \mid \rho(\alpha) = r\} \\
[(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \eta, \rho)]_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \eta, [\rho]_n) \\
\eta' \supseteq \eta &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\eta). \eta(\alpha) = \eta'(\alpha) \\
\rho' \supseteq \rho &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\rho). \rho(\alpha) = \rho'(\alpha) \\
(k', w') \supseteq (k, w) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} k' \leq k \wedge w' \in \text{World}_{k'} \wedge w'.\sigma_1 \supseteq w.\sigma_1 \wedge w'.\sigma_2 \supseteq w.\sigma_2 \wedge \\
&\quad w'.\eta \supseteq w.\eta \wedge w'.\rho \supseteq [w.\rho]_{k'} \wedge \\
&\quad \text{rng}(w'.\eta^i) \setminus \text{rng}(w.\eta^i) \subseteq \text{dom}(w'.\sigma_i) \setminus \text{dom}(w.\sigma_i) \\
(k', w') \sqsupset (k, w) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} k' < k \wedge (k', w') \supseteq (k, w)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\iota ::= \bullet \mid - \mid + \mid \circ$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\neg \bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bullet & \mid \bullet \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bullet \\
\neg - \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} + & \mid - \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bullet \\
\neg + \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} - & \mid + \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \circ \\
\neg \circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \circ & \mid \circ \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \circ
\end{array}$$

$V_n^t[\alpha]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lfloor \rho(\alpha).R \rfloor_n$
$V_n^t[b]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, c, c) \in \text{Atom}_n[b, b]\}$
$V_n^t[\tau \times \tau']\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, \langle v_1, v'_1 \rangle, \langle v_2, v'_2 \rangle) \in \text{Atom}_n[\rho^1(\tau \times \tau'), \rho^2(\tau \times \tau')]\} \mid$ $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho \wedge (k, w, v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^t[\tau']\rho\}$
$V_n^t[\tau' \rightarrow \tau]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, \lambda x:\tau_1.e_1, \lambda x:\tau_2.e_2) \in \text{Atom}_n[\rho^1(\tau' \rightarrow \tau), \rho^2(\tau' \rightarrow \tau)] \mid$ $\forall (k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau']\rho.$ $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w) \implies (k', w', e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in E_n^t[\tau]\rho\}$
$V_n^t[\forall\alpha.\tau]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in \text{Atom}_n[\rho^1(\forall\alpha.\tau), \rho^2(\forall\alpha.\tau)] \mid$ $\forall (k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w), (\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^t[\Omega]w'.$ $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^t[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto r\}$
$V_n^t[\exists\alpha.\tau]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, \text{pack}\langle\tau_1, v_1\rangle, \text{pack}\langle\tau_2, v_2\rangle) \in \text{Atom}_n[\rho^1(\exists\alpha.\tau), \rho^2(\exists\alpha.\tau)] \mid$ $\exists r. (\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^t[\Omega]w \wedge \forall (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w).$ $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto r\}$
$E_n^t[\tau]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, e_1, e_2) \in \text{Atom}_n[\rho^1(\tau), \rho^2(\tau)] \mid$ $\forall j < k, v_1, \sigma_1. w.\sigma_1; e_1 \hookrightarrow^j \sigma_1; v_1 \implies$ $\exists v_2, w'. (k - j, w') \sqsupseteq (k, w) \wedge w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1 \wedge$ $w.\sigma_2; e_2 \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; v_2 \wedge (k - j, w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho\}$
$T_n^{\bullet,-}[\Omega]w$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(w.\eta^1(\tau), w.\eta^2(\tau), (w.\rho^1(\tau), w.\rho^2(\tau), V_n^{\bullet}[\tau]w.\rho)) \mid$ $\tau \in \text{Typ}_{\text{dom}(w.\rho)}\}$
$T_n^{\circ,+}[\Omega]w$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, (w.\sigma_1^*(\tau_1), w.\sigma_2^*(\tau_2), R)) \mid$ $\tau_1 \in \text{Typ}_{\text{dom}(w.\sigma_1)} \wedge \tau_2 \in \text{Typ}_{\text{dom}(w.\sigma_2)} \wedge R \in \text{Rel}_n[w.\sigma_1^*(\tau_1), w.\sigma_2^*(\tau_2)]\}$
$D_n^t[\epsilon]w$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset)\}$
$D_n^t[\Delta, \alpha]w$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2), (\rho, \alpha \mapsto r)) \mid$ $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w \wedge (\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_n^t[\Omega]w\}$
$D_n^t[\Delta, \alpha \approx \tau]w$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_2), (\rho, \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau), \rho^2(\tau), V_n^{t_1}[\tau]\rho))) \mid$ $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w \wedge w.\sigma_i(\alpha_i) = \delta_i(\tau) \wedge$ $\exists \alpha'. \alpha_i = w.\eta^i(\alpha') \wedge w.\rho(\alpha').R = V_n^{t_1}[\tau]\rho\}$
$G_n^t[\epsilon]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, \emptyset, \emptyset) \mid k < n \wedge w \in \text{World}_k\}$
$G_n^t[\Gamma, x:\tau]\rho$	$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(k, w, (\gamma_1, x \mapsto v_1), (\gamma_2, x \mapsto v_2)) \mid$ $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho \wedge (k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho\}$

$$\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^t e_2 : \tau \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \wedge \Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau \wedge$$

$$\forall n \geq 0, w_0 \in \text{World}_n, (\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w_0, (k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho.$$

$$(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0) \implies (k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_n^t[\tau]\rho$$

2.3 Properties

Note: whenever we write $V_n^t[\tau]\rho$ or $E_n^t[\tau]\rho$ from now on, we assume that $\tau \in \text{dom}(\rho)$ and $\rho \in \text{Interp}$.

Lemma 2.1 (Transitivity of World Extension)

1. If $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ and $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$, then $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
2. If $(k'', w'') \sqsubset (k', w')$ and $(k', w') \sqsubset (k, w)$, then $(k'', w'') \sqsubset (k, w)$.

Proof:

1. $k'' \leq k$ is clear, as are $w'' \in \text{World}_{k''}$, $w''.\sigma_i \sqsupseteq w.\sigma_i$, $w''.\eta \sqsupseteq w.\eta$, and $\text{rng}(w''.\eta^i) \setminus \text{rng}(w.\eta^i) \subseteq \text{dom}(w''.\sigma_i) \setminus \text{dom}(w.\sigma_i)$. It remains to show $\rho'' \sqsupseteq \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k''}$. So suppose $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k''})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k''}(\alpha).R \\
&= \lfloor \rho(\alpha).R \rfloor_{k''} \\
&= \lfloor \lfloor \rho(\alpha).R \rfloor_{k'} \rfloor_{k''} && \text{since } k'' \leq k' \\
&= \lfloor \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}(\alpha).R \rfloor_{k''} \\
&= \lfloor \rho'(\alpha).R \rfloor_{k''} && \text{since } \rho' \sqsupseteq \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'} \\
&= \lfloor \rho' \rfloor_{k''}(\alpha).R \\
&= \rho''(\alpha).R && \text{since } \rho'' \sqsupseteq \lfloor \rho' \rfloor_{k''}
\end{aligned}$$

2. Follows from (1). ■

Lemma 2.2

If $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w$, then $\rho^i = w.\sigma_i^* \cdot \delta_i$.

Lemma 2.3 (Inclusion)

$$V_n^t[\tau]\rho \subseteq E_n^t[\tau]\rho$$

Proof: Follows easily from the definition of $E_n^t[\tau]\rho$, taking the future world to be the current one. ■

Lemma 2.4 (Restriction)

1. If $k' \leq k$, then $V_{k'}^t[\tau]\rho = \lfloor V_k^t[\tau]\rho \rfloor_{k'}$.
2. If $k' \leq k$, then $E_{k'}^t[\tau]\rho = \lfloor E_k^t[\tau]\rho \rfloor_{k'}$.

Lemma 2.5 (Atomicity)

1. $V_n^t[\tau]\rho \subseteq \text{Atom}_n^{\text{val}}[\rho^1(\tau), \rho^2(\tau)]$.
2. $E_n^t[\tau]\rho \subseteq \text{Atom}_n[\rho^1(\tau), \rho^2(\tau)]$.

Proof: By definition. ■

Lemma 2.6 (Irrelevance)

If $\lfloor \rho' \rfloor_n \sqsupseteq \lfloor \rho \rfloor_n$, then

1. $V_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho' = V_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$,
2. $E_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho' = E_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, and
3. $G_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho' = G_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.

Proof: (1) and (2) by mutual induction on τ .

1.
 - Case $\tau = \alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(k, w, v_1, v_2) &\in V_n^t \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho' \\
&\iff (k, w, v_1, v_2) \in \lfloor \rho'(\alpha) \rfloor_n . R \\
&\iff (k, w, v_1, v_2) \in \lfloor \rho' \rfloor_n(\alpha) . R \\
&\iff (k, w, v_1, v_2) \in \lfloor \rho \rfloor_n(\alpha) . R && \text{by assumption} \\
&\iff (k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho
\end{aligned}$$

- Case $\tau = b$: Trivial.
- The remaining cases all follow easily by induction.

2. Follows from (1).
 3. Follows from (1).
-

Lemma 2.7

1. If $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_n^t \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w_0$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$, then $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \lfloor r \rfloor_k) \in T_k^t \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w$.
2. If $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w_0$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$, then $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k) \in D_k^t \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w$.

Proof:

1. Follows easily by Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6).
2. By induction on Δ .
 - Case $\Delta = \epsilon$: Trivial.
 - Case $\Delta = \Delta', \alpha$: Follows by induction and part (1).
 - Case $\Delta = \Delta', \alpha \approx \tau$:
 - We know $\delta_i = \delta'_i, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_i$ and $\rho = \rho', \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau), \rho^2(\tau), V_n^{|\ell|} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho')$ where $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_n^t \llbracket \Delta' \rrbracket w_0$, $w_0 . \sigma_i(\alpha_i) = \delta_i(\tau)$, and $w_0 . \rho(\alpha') . R = V_n^{|\ell|} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho'$ for some α' .

- By induction, $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \lfloor \rho' \rfloor) \in D_k^t \llbracket \Delta' \rrbracket w$.
- $w.\sigma_i(\alpha_i) = \delta_i(\tau)$ is clear.
- We need to show $w.\rho(\alpha').R = \lfloor V_n^{\lfloor \iota \rfloor} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \lfloor \rho' \rfloor_k \rfloor_k$ and $\lfloor V_n^{\lfloor \iota \rfloor} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho' \rfloor_k = V_k^{\lfloor \iota \rfloor} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \lfloor \rho' \rfloor_k$.
- Both follow by Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), the former using the fact that $w \in \text{World}_k$.

■

Lemma 2.8 (Closure Under World Extension)

1. If $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$ and $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$, then $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
2. If $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rho$ and $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$, then $(k', w', \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rho$.

Proof:

1. By induction on τ .
 - Case $\tau = \alpha$: Since $\rho \in \text{Interp}$, we know $\rho(\alpha).R \in \text{Rel}_m$ for some m and thus closed under world extension.
 - Case $\tau = b$: Trivial.
 - Case $\tau = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$: Follows easily by induction.
 - Case $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$: $v_i = \lambda x:\tau'_i.e_i$.
 - So suppose $(k'', w'', v_3, v_4) \in V_n^{-\iota} \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \rho$ where $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', e_1[v_3/x], e_2[v_4/x]) \in E_n^t \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$.
 - By Transitivity of World Extension (2.1), $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
 - Now instantiate the assumption to get $(k'', w'', e_1[v_3/x], e_2[v_4/x]) \in E_n^t \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$.
 - Case $\tau = \forall \alpha.\tau'$: $v_i = \Lambda \alpha.e_i$.
 - So suppose $(k''', w''') \sqsupset (k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w')$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'''}^{-\iota} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w''$.
 - To show: $(k''', w''', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^t \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - By Transitivity of World Extension (2.1), $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
 - Now instantiating the assumption yields the claim.
 - Case $\tau = \exists \alpha.\tau'$: $v_i = \text{pack } \langle \tau_i, v'_i \rangle$.
 - By assumption there is r such that $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^t \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w$ and $(k'', w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^t \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ for any $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k, w)$.
 - By Lemma 2.7 we have $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \lfloor r \rfloor_{k'}) \in T_{k'}^t \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w'$.
 - We show that $(k'', w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^t \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto \lfloor r \rfloor_{k'}$ for any $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w')$.
 - Suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w')$.
 - By Transitivity of World Extension (2.1), $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
 - Hence $(k'', w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^t \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ and thus the claim follows by Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6).
2. Follows from (1).

■

Lemma 2.9 (Validity of the Logical Relation)

$$V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho \in \text{Rel}_n[\rho^1(\tau), \rho^2(\tau)]$$

Proof: Follows by Atomicity (2.5) and Closure Under World Extension (2.8). ■

Lemma 2.10 (Substitution)

For $\iota \in \{\bullet, \circ\}$:

1. $V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_n^\iota[\tau']\rho) = V_n^\iota[\tau[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho$.
2. $E_n^\iota[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_n^\iota[\tau']\rho) = E_n^\iota[\tau[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho$.

Proof:

1.
 - Case $\tau = \alpha$: Trivial.
 - Case $\tau = \alpha' \neq \alpha$: Follows by Irrelevance (2.6).
 - Case $\tau = \tau_0 \times \tau'_0$: Follows easily by induction.
 - Case $\tau = \tau'_0 \rightarrow \tau_0$: Follows easily by induction.
 - Case $\tau = \forall \alpha'. \tau''$:
 - We show $V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_n^\iota[\tau']\rho) \subseteq V_n^\iota[\tau[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho$. The other direction is symmetric.
 - Suppose $(k, w, \Lambda \alpha. e_1, \Lambda \alpha. e_2) \in V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_n^\iota[\tau']\rho)$.
 - Suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{\neg \iota}[\Omega]w'$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha'], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha']) \in E_n^\iota[\tau''[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho, \alpha' \mapsto r$
 - Since $\neg \iota = \iota$ and thus $T_{k'}^{\neg \iota}[\Omega]w' = T_{k'}^\iota[\Omega]w'$, we know: $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha'], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha']) \in E_n^\iota[\tau'']\rho, \alpha' \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_n^\iota[\tau']\rho), \alpha' \mapsto r$
 - By Irrelevance (2.6), $(\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_n^\iota[\tau']\rho) = ((\rho, \alpha \mapsto r)^1(\tau'), (\rho, \alpha \mapsto r)^2(\tau'), V_n^\iota[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r)$.
 - Hence by induction, $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha'], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha']) \in E_n^\iota[\tau''[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho, \alpha' \mapsto r$.
 - Case $\tau = \exists \alpha'. \tau''$: Analogously to the previous case.
2. Follows from part (1).

■

Lemma 2.11 (Type Compatibility)

For $\iota \in \{\bullet, \circ\}$: If $\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \approx \tau_2$ and $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\iota[\Delta]w$, then

1. $V_n^\iota[\tau_1]\rho = V_n^\iota[\tau_2]\rho$ and
2. $E_n^\iota[\tau_1]\rho = E_n^\iota[\tau_2]\rho$.

Proof: By induction on the derivation of the type compatibility.

1.
 - Case $\tau_1 = \alpha = \tau_2$: Trivial.
 - Case $\tau_1 = \alpha$ where $\alpha \approx \tau_2 \in \Delta$:
 - Then it is easy to see that $\Delta = \Delta_1, \alpha \approx \tau_2, \Delta_2, \delta_i = \delta_{i1}, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_i, \delta_{i2}$, and $\rho = \rho_1, \alpha \mapsto (\rho_1^1(\tau_2), \rho_1^2(\tau_2), V_n^\iota[\tau_2]\rho_1), \rho_2$.
 - Hence $V_n^\iota[\alpha]\rho = V_n^\iota[\tau_2]\rho_1$ and so the claim follows by Irrelevance (2.6).
 - Case $\tau_1 = b = \tau_2$: Trivial.
 - Case $\tau_1 = \tau_{11} \times \tau_{12}$ and $\tau_2 = \tau_{21} \times \tau_{22}$ with $\Delta \vdash \tau_{11} \approx \tau_{21}$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau_{12} \approx \tau_{22}$: Follows easily by induction.
 - Case $\tau_1 = \tau_{11} \rightarrow \tau_{12}$ and $\tau_2 = \tau_{21} \rightarrow \tau_{22}$ with $\Delta \vdash \tau_{11} \approx \tau_{21}$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau_{12} \approx \tau_{22}$: Follows easily by induction.
 - Case $\tau_1 = \forall \alpha. \tau_1'$ and $\tau_2 = \forall \alpha. \tau_2'$ with $\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau_1' \approx \tau_2'$:
 - We show $V_n^\iota[\delta(\tau_1)]\rho \subseteq V_n^\iota[\delta(\tau_2)]\rho$; the other direction is symmetric.
 - Suppose $(k, w, \Lambda \alpha. e_1, \Lambda \alpha. e_2) \in V_n^\iota[\forall \alpha. \tau_1']\rho$.
 - Suppose further $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{-\iota}[\Omega]w'$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^\iota[\tau_2']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - Since $\neg \iota = \iota$ and thus $T_{k'}^{-\iota}[\Omega]w' = T_{k'}^\iota[\Omega]w'$, we know $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^\iota[\tau_1']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), this reduces to showing $E_{k'}^\iota[\tau_1'][\rho]_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r = E_{k'}^\iota[\tau_2'][\rho]_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - This follows by induction if we can show $((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2), (\lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r)) \in D_{k'}^\iota[\Delta, \alpha]w'$.
 - By Lemma 2.7, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}) \in D_{k'}^\iota[\Delta]w'$.
 - Hence indeed $((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2), (\lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r)) \in D_{k'}^\iota[\Delta, \alpha]w'$.
 - Case $\tau_1 = \exists \alpha. \tau_1'$ and $\tau_2 = \exists \alpha. \tau_2'$ with $\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau_1' \approx \tau_2'$: analogously to the previous case
2. Follows immediately from part (1). ■

Definition 2.12 (Anti-Unifier)

Assume that $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet[\Delta]w$. The anti-unifying substitution of δ_1 and δ_2 with respect to w, η , written $\text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w, \eta)$, is defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{au}(\epsilon, \epsilon, \eta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \epsilon \\ \text{au}((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2), \eta) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, \eta), \alpha \mapsto \tau \quad \text{where } \tau = \eta^{-1}(\tau_1) = \eta^{-2}(\tau_2) \end{aligned}$$

Note that η^{-i} exists because the definition of Conc ensures that η^i is injective.

Lemma 2.13

1. If $\delta = \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, \eta)$, then $\delta_1 = \eta^1 \cdot \delta$ and $\delta_2 = \eta^2 \cdot \delta$.

2. If $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet[\Delta]w_0$, $\delta = \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w_0.\eta)$, and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$, then $\delta = \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w.\eta)$.

Proof:

1. Follows easily from the definition. ■

Lemma 2.14

If $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet[\Delta]w_0$, $\delta = \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w_0.\eta)$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau$, then

1. $V_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho = V_n^\bullet[\delta(\tau)]w_0.\rho$ and
2. $E_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho = E_n^\bullet[\delta(\tau)]w_0.\rho$.

Proof: By induction on the derivation of $\Delta \vdash \tau$.

1. • Case $\tau = \alpha$ where $\alpha \in \Delta$:
 - Then it is easy to see that $\Delta = \Delta_1, \alpha, \Delta_2$, $\delta_i = \delta_{i1}, \alpha \mapsto \tau_i, \delta_{i2}$, and $\rho = \rho_1, \alpha \mapsto r, \rho_2$ where $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_n^\bullet[\Omega]w_0$.
 - The latter implies $\tau_i = w_0.\eta^i(\tau')$ and $r = (-, -, V_n^\bullet[\tau']w_0.\rho)$.
 - Hence $V_n^\bullet[\alpha]\rho = V_n^\bullet[\tau']w_0.\rho = V_n^\bullet[\delta(\alpha)]w_0.\rho$.
- Case $\tau = \alpha$ where $\alpha \approx \tau' \in \Delta$:
 - Then it is easy to see that $\Delta = \Delta_1, \alpha \approx \tau', \Delta_2$, $\delta_i = \delta_{i1}, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_i, \delta_{i2}$, and $\rho = \rho_1, \alpha \mapsto (\rho_1^1(\tau'), \rho_1^2(\tau'), V_n^\bullet[\tau']\rho_1), \rho_2$ with $\alpha_i = w_0.\eta^i(\alpha')$ and $w_0.\rho(\alpha').R = V_n^\bullet[\tau']\rho_1$ for some α' .
 - Because of the injectivity of $w_0.\eta^i$, $w_0.\eta^i(\alpha') = \alpha_i = \delta_i(\alpha) = w_0.\eta^i\delta(\alpha)$ implies $\alpha' = \delta(\alpha)$.
 - Hence $V_n^\bullet[\alpha]\rho = V_n^\bullet[\tau']\rho_1 = V_n^\bullet[\alpha']w_0.\rho = V_n^\bullet[\delta(\alpha)]w_0.\rho$.
- Case $\tau = b$: Trivial.
- Case $\tau = \tau_1 \times \tau_2$ with $\Delta \vdash \tau_1$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau_2$: Follows easily by induction.
- Case $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ with $\Delta \vdash \tau_1$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau_2$: Follows easily by induction.
- Case $\tau = \forall \alpha.\tau'$ with $\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau'$:
 - We show $V_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^\bullet[\delta(\tau)]w_0.\rho$; the other direction is symmetric.
 - Suppose $(k, w, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\forall \alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - Suppose further $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^\bullet[\Omega]w'$.
 - We know $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^\bullet[\delta(\tau')]w_0.\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$
 - By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), this reduces to showing $E_{k'}^\bullet[\tau'][\rho]_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r = E_{k'}^\bullet[\delta(\tau')]w'.\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - By assumption and Lemma 2.7, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}) \in D_{k'}^\bullet[\Delta]w'$.
 - Let $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') := ((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2), (\lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r))$, so $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_{k'}^\bullet[\Delta, \alpha]w'$.
 - By Lemma 2.13, $\delta = \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w'.\eta)$.

- Since $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^\bullet[\Omega]w'$ we know $\tau_i = w'.\eta^i(\tau'')$ and $r = (w'.\rho^1(\tau''), w'.\rho^2(\tau''), V_{k'}^\bullet[\tau'']w'.\rho)$.
- It is easy to see then that $\delta, \alpha \mapsto \tau'' = \text{au}(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, w'.\eta)$.
- Hence by induction, $E_{k'}^\bullet[\tau']\rho' = E_{k'}^\bullet[\delta(\tau')[\tau''/\alpha]]w'.\rho$.
- By Substitution (2.10), $E_{k'}^\bullet[\delta(\tau')[\tau''/\alpha]]w'.\rho = E_{k'}^\bullet[\delta(\tau')]w'.\rho, \alpha \mapsto (w'.\rho^1(\tau''), w'.\rho^2(\tau''), V_{k'}^\bullet[\tau'']w'.\rho) = E_{k'}^\bullet[\delta(\tau')]w'.\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Case $\tau = \exists\alpha.\tau'$ with $\Delta, \alpha \vdash \tau'$: analogously to previous case

2. Follows immediately from part (1). ■

In all the following compatibility lemmas we assume $\iota \in \{\bullet, \circ\}$.

Lemma 2.15 (Compatibility: var)

If $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ and $x:\tau \in \Gamma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash x \lesssim^\iota x : \tau$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\iota[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(x), \delta_2\gamma_2(x)) \in E_n^\iota[\tau]\rho$
- By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(x), \delta_2\gamma_2(x)) \in V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho$.
- By assumption we have $(k, w, \gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho$.
- Since thereby $\vdash w.\sigma_i; \gamma_i(x) : \rho^1(\tau)$, we know $\gamma_i(x) = \delta_i\gamma_i(x)$. ■

Lemma 2.16 (Compatibility: con)

If $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash c \lesssim^\iota c : b$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\iota[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(c), \delta_2\gamma_2(c)) \in E_n^\iota[b]\rho$, i.e., $(k, w, c, c) \in E_n^\iota[b]\rho$.
- By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k, w, c, c) \in V_n^\iota[b]\rho$, which holds trivially. ■

Lemma 2.17 (Compatibility: pair)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau_1$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_3 \lesssim^\iota e_4 : \tau_2$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \langle e_1, e_3 \rangle \lesssim^\iota \langle e_2, e_4 \rangle : \tau_1 \times \tau_2$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\iota[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.

- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(\langle e_1, e_3 \rangle), \delta_2\gamma_2(\langle e_2, e_4 \rangle)) \in E_n^t[\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\rho$.
- Assume that $w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(\langle e_1, e_3 \rangle)$ terminates in $j_1 + j_2 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{array}{l} w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(\langle e_1, e_3 \rangle) \\ \hookrightarrow^{j_1} \sigma'_1; \langle v_1, \delta_1(\gamma_1(e_3)) \rangle \\ \hookrightarrow^{j_2} \sigma_1; \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \end{array}$$

- Instantiate the first assumption to get $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_n^t[\tau_1]\rho$.
- Consequently there exists $(k - j_1, w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ such that $w.\sigma_2; \delta_2\gamma_2(\langle e_2, e_4 \rangle) \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; \langle v_2, \delta_2\gamma_2(e_4) \rangle$ with $w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k - j_1, w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau_1]\rho$.
- Instantiate the second assumption and apply Closure Under World Extension (2.8) to get $(k - j_1, w', \delta_1\gamma_1(e_3), \delta_2\gamma_2(e_4)) \in E_n^t[\tau_2]\rho$.
- Consequently there exists $(k - j, w'') \sqsupseteq (k - j_1, w')$ such that $w'.\sigma_2; \langle v_2, \delta_2\gamma_2(e_4) \rangle \hookrightarrow^* w''.\sigma_2; \langle v_2, v_4 \rangle$ with $w''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k - j, w'', v_3, v_4) \in V_n^t[\tau_2]\rho$.
- Since, by Closure Under World Extension, also $(k - j, w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau_1]\rho$, we get $(k - j, w'', \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle, \langle v_2, v_4 \rangle) \in V_n^t[\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\rho$.

■

Lemma 2.18 (Compatibility: proj)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^t e_2 : \tau_1 \times \tau_2$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1.i \lesssim^t e_2.i : \tau_i$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1.i), \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2.i)) \in E_n^t[\tau_i]\rho$.
- Assume that $w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1.i)$ terminates in $j' + 1 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{array}{l} w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1.i) \\ \hookrightarrow^{j'} \sigma_1; \langle v_{11}, v_{12} \rangle.i \\ \hookrightarrow^1 \sigma_1; v_{1i} \end{array}$$

- Instantiate the assumption to get $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_n^t[\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\rho$.
- Consequently there exists $(k - j', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ such that $w.\sigma_2; \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2.i) \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; \langle v_{21}, v_{22} \rangle.i$ with $w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k - j', w', \langle v_{11}, v_{12} \rangle, \langle v_{21}, v_{22} \rangle) \in V_n^t[\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\rho$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8), $(k - j, [w']_{k-j}, \langle v_{11}, v_{12} \rangle, \langle v_{21}, v_{22} \rangle) \in V_n^t[\tau_1 \times \tau_2]\rho$.
- Hence $(k - j, [w']_{k-j}, v_{1i}, v_{2i}) \in V_n^t[\tau_i]\rho$.

■

Lemma 2.19 (Compatibility: abs)

If $\Delta; \Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau_2$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\tau_1. e_1 \lesssim^\iota \lambda x:\tau_1. e_2 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\iota[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1(\gamma_1(\lambda x:\tau_1. e_1)), \delta_2(\gamma_2(\lambda x:\tau_1. e_2))) \in E_n^\iota[\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2]\rho$
- By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k, w, \delta_1(\gamma_1(\lambda x:\tau_1. e_1)), \delta_2(\gamma_2(\lambda x:\tau_1. e_2))) \in V_n^\iota[\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2]\rho$.
- So suppose $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\iota[\tau_1]\rho$ where $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- To show: $(k', w', \delta_1(\gamma_1(e_1))[v_1/x], \delta_2(\gamma_2(e_2))[v_2/x]) \in E_n^\iota[\tau_2]\rho$
- Let $\gamma'_i := \gamma_i, x \mapsto v_i$.
- As $(k', w', \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma]\rho$ by Closure Under World Extension (2.8), this means $(k', w', \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma, x:\tau_1]\rho$.
- Now instantiate the assumption to get $(k', w', \delta_1(\gamma'_1(e_1)), \delta_2(\gamma'_2(e_2))) \in E_n^\iota[\tau_2]\rho$.
- Note that $\delta_i(\gamma'_i(e_i)) = \delta_i(\gamma_i(e_i))[v_i/x]$.
- Furthermore, since $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\iota[\tau_1]\rho$ implies $\vdash w'.\sigma_i; v_i : \rho^i(\tau_1)$, we have $\delta_i(v_i) = v_i$ and thus $\delta_i(\gamma_i(e_i)[v_i/x]) = \delta_i(\gamma_i(e_i))[v_i/x]$.

■

Lemma 2.20 (Compatibility: app)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_3 \lesssim^\iota e_4 : \tau_1$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 e_3 \lesssim^\iota e_2 e_4 : \tau_2$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\iota[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1 e_3), \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2 e_4)) \in E_n^\iota[\tau_2]\rho$
- Assume that $w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1 e_3)$ terminates in $j_1 + j_2 + 1 + j_3 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{array}{l}
w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1 e_3) \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_1} \sigma'_1; (\lambda x.e'_1) \delta_1(\gamma_1(e_3)) \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_2} \sigma''_1; (\lambda x.e'_1) v_3 \\
\hookrightarrow^1 \sigma'_1; e'_1[v_3/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_3} \sigma_1; v_1
\end{array}$$

- Instantiating the first assumption yields the existence of $(k - j_1, w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ such that $w.\sigma_2; \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2 e_4) \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; (\lambda x.e'_2) \delta_2\gamma_2(e_4)$ and $w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k - j_1, w', \lambda x.e'_1, \lambda x.e'_2) \in V_n^\iota[\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2]\rho$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8) we have $(k - j_1, w', \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota[\Gamma]\rho$.

- Hence instantiating the second assumption yields the existence of $(k - j_1 - j_2, w'') \sqsupseteq (k - j_1, w')$ such that $w'.\sigma_2; (\lambda x.e'_2) \delta_2(\gamma_2(e_4)) \hookrightarrow^* w''.\sigma_2; (\lambda x.e'_2) v_4$ and $w''.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k - j_1 - j_2, w'', v_3, v_4) \in V_n^t[\tau_1]\rho$.
- Consequently, $(k - j_1 - j_2, w'', e'_1[v_3/x], e'_2[v_4/x]) \in E_n^t[\tau_2]\rho$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8), $(k - j_1 - j_2 - 1, [w'']_{k-j_1-j_2-1}, e'_1[v_3/x], e'_2[v_4/x]) \in E_n^t[\tau_2]\rho$.
- Therefore there exists $(k - j, w''') \sqsupseteq (k - j_1 - j_2 - 1, [w'']_{k-j_1-j_2-1})$ such that $w'''.\sigma_2; e'_2[v_4/x] \hookrightarrow^* w'''.\sigma_2; v_2$ and $w'''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k - j, w''', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau_2]\rho$.

■

Lemma 2.21 (Compatibility: gen)

If $\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^t e_2 : \tau$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \Lambda\alpha.e_1 \lesssim^t \Lambda\alpha.e_2 : \forall\alpha.\tau$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(\Lambda\alpha.e_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(\Lambda\alpha.e_2)) \in E_n^t[\tau]\rho$
- By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(\Lambda\alpha.e_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(\Lambda\alpha.e_2)) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho$.
- So suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{\neg t}[\Omega]w'$.
- To show: $(k'', w'', \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1)[\tau_1/\alpha], \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2)[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^t[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$
- By assumption and Lemma 2.7, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, [\rho]_{k'}) \in D_{k'}^t[\Delta]w'$.
- Since $\neg t = t$ we have $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^t[\Omega]w'$.
- Let $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') := ((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2), ([\rho]_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r))$, so $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_{k'}^t[\Delta, \alpha]w'$.
- Furthermore, $(k'', w'', \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_{k'}^t[\Gamma]\rho'$ by Closure Under World Extension (2.8), Restriction (2.4), and Irrelevance (2.6).
- Now instantiate the assumption with $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho')$ and $(k'', w'', \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ to get $(k'', w'', \delta'_1\gamma_1(e_1), \delta'_2\gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_{k'}^t[\tau]\rho'$.
- Note that $\delta'_i\gamma_i(e_i) = \delta_i\gamma_i(e_i)[\tau_i/\alpha]$.
- The claim then follows by Irrelevance (2.6) and Restriction (2.4).

■

Lemma 2.22 (Compatibility: inst)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^t e_2 : \forall\alpha.\tau_1$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau_2$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \tau_2 \lesssim^t e_2 \tau_2 : \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha]$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\iota \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\iota \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1 \gamma_1(e_1 \tau_2), \delta_2 \gamma_2(e_2 \tau_2)) \in E_n^\iota \llbracket \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha] \rrbracket \rho$
- Assume $w.\sigma_1; \delta_1 \gamma_1(e_1 \tau_2)$ terminates in $j_1 + 1 + j_2 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
& & w.\sigma_1; \delta_1 \gamma_1(e_1 \tau_2) \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_1} & \sigma_1'; & (\Lambda \alpha.e_1') \delta_1(\tau_2) \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma_1'; & e_1'[\delta_1(\tau_2)/\alpha] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_2} & \sigma_1; & v_1
\end{array}$$

- Instantiate the assumption to get $(k, w, \delta_1 \gamma_1(e_1), \delta_2 \gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_n^\iota \llbracket \forall \alpha.\tau_1 \rrbracket \rho$.
- Consequently, there is $(k-j_1, w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ such that $w.\sigma_2; \delta_2 \gamma_2(e_2 \tau_2) \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; (\Lambda \alpha.e_2') \delta_2(\tau_2)$ with $w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1'$ and $(k-j_1, w', \Lambda \alpha.e_1', \Lambda \alpha.e_2') \in V_n^\iota \llbracket \forall \alpha.\tau_1 \rrbracket \rho$.
- Let $r := (w'.\sigma_1^*(\delta_1(\tau_2)), w'.\sigma_2^*(\delta_2(\tau_2)), V_{k-j_1}^\iota \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho)$.
- If $\iota = \circ$, then $(\delta_1(\tau_2), \delta_2(\tau_2), r) \in T_{k-j_1}^\circ \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w' = T_{k-j_1}^{\neg \iota} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w'$ is obvious.
- If $\iota = \bullet$, then we show $(\delta_1(\tau_2), \delta_2(\tau_2), r) \in T_{k-j_1}^\bullet \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w' = T_{k-j_1}^{\neg \iota} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w'$ as follows:
 - Let $\delta := \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w_0.\eta)$.
 - It suffices to show $(\delta_1(\tau_2), \delta_2(\tau_2), r) = (w'.\eta^1 \delta(\tau_2), w'.\eta^2 \delta(\tau_2), (w'.\rho^1 \delta(\tau_2), w'.\rho^2 \delta(\tau_2), V_{k-j_1}^\bullet \llbracket \delta(\tau_2) \rrbracket w'.\rho))$.
 - By Lemma 2.7, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k-j_1}) \in D_{k-j_1}^\bullet \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w'$.
 - First, $\delta_i(\tau_2) = w'.\eta^i \delta(\tau_2)$ by Lemma 2.13.
 - Second, $w'.\sigma_i^*(\delta_i(\tau_2)) = w'.\sigma_i^*(w'.\eta^i \delta(\tau_2)) = w'.\rho^i \delta(\tau_2)$ because of Lemma 2.13 and $w' \in \text{World}$.
 - Finally, $V_{k-j_1}^\bullet \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho = V_{k-j_1}^\bullet \llbracket \delta(\tau_2) \rrbracket w'.\rho$ by Lemma 2.14.
- Instantiating $(k-j_1, w', \Lambda \alpha.e_1', \Lambda \alpha.e_2') \in V_n^\iota \llbracket \forall \alpha.\tau_1 \rrbracket \rho$ with $(k-j_1-1, \lfloor w' \rfloor_{k-j_1-1}) \sqsupset (k-j_1, w') \sqsupseteq (k-j_1, w')$ and $(\delta_1(\tau_2), \delta_2(\tau_2), r)$ yields $(k-j_1-1, \lfloor w' \rfloor_{k-j_1-1}, e_1'[\delta_1(\tau_2)/\alpha], e_2'[\delta_2(\tau_2)]) \in E_n^\iota \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Hence there exists $(k-j, w'') \sqsupseteq (k-j_1-1, \lfloor w' \rfloor_{k-j_1-1})$ such that $w'.\sigma_2; e_2'[\delta_2(\tau_2)/\alpha] \hookrightarrow^* w''.\sigma_2; v_2$ with $w''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k-j, w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\iota \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- It remains to show $(k-j, w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\iota \llbracket \tau_1[\tau_2/\alpha] \rrbracket \rho$.
- This follows by Restriction (2.4), Substitution (2.10), and Lemma 2.2. ■

Lemma 2.23 (Compatibility: pack)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau[\tau'/\alpha]$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau'$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{pack}(\tau', e_1)$ as $\exists \alpha.\tau \lesssim^\iota \text{pack}(\tau', e_2)$ as $\exists \alpha.\tau : \exists \alpha.\tau$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{pack}\langle\tau', e_1\rangle \text{ as } \exists\alpha.\tau), \delta_2\gamma_2(\text{pack}\langle\tau', e_2\rangle \text{ as } \exists\alpha.\tau)) \in E_n^t[\exists\alpha.\tau]\rho$
- Assume $w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{pack}\langle\tau', e_1\rangle) \hookrightarrow^j \sigma_1; \text{pack}\langle\delta_1(\tau'), v_1\rangle$ where $j < k$.
- Instantiating the assumption yields $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_n^t[\tau[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k-j, w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ such that $w.\sigma_2; \delta_2\gamma_2(\text{pack}\langle\tau', e_2\rangle) \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; \text{pack}\langle\delta_2(\tau'), v_2\rangle$ with $w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k-j, w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho$.
- It remains to show $(k-j, w', \text{pack}\langle\delta_1(\tau'), v_1\rangle, \text{pack}\langle\delta_2(\tau'), v_2\rangle) \in V_n^t[\exists\alpha.\tau]\rho$.
- Let $r := (w'.\sigma_1^*(\delta_1(\tau')), w'.\sigma_2^*(\delta_2(\tau')), V_{k-j}^\circ[\tau']\rho)$.
- If $\iota = \circ$, then $(\delta_1(\tau'), \delta_2(\tau'), r) \in T_{k-j}^\iota[\Omega]w'.\rho$ is obvious.
- If $\iota = \bullet$, then we show $(\delta_1(\tau'), \delta_2(\tau'), r) \in T_{k-j}^\iota[\Omega]w'.\rho$ as follows:
 - Let $\delta := \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w_0.\eta)$.
 - It suffices to show $(\delta_1(\tau'), \delta_2(\tau'), r) = (w'.\eta^1\delta(\tau'), w'.\eta^2(\tau'), (w'.\rho^1\delta(\tau'), w'.\rho^2\delta(\tau'), V_{k-j}^\bullet[\delta(\tau')]w'.\rho))$.
 - By Lemma 2.7, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor\rho\rfloor_{k-j}) \in D_{k-j}^\bullet[\Delta]w'$.
 - First, $\delta_i(\tau') = w'.\eta^i\delta(\tau')$ by Lemma 2.13.
 - Second, $w'.\sigma_i^*(\delta_i(\tau')) = w'.\sigma_i^*(w'.\eta^i\delta(\tau')) = w'.\rho^i\delta(\tau')$ because of Lemma 2.13 and $w' \in \text{World}$.
 - Finally, $V_{k-j}^\bullet[\tau']\rho = V_{k-j}^\bullet[\delta(\tau')]w'.\rho$ by Lemma 2.14.
- We claim that $(k'', w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho$, $\alpha \mapsto r$ for any $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k-j, w')$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8) we have $(k'', w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau[\tau'/\alpha]]\rho$.
- The claim then follows by Restriction (2.4), Substitution (2.10), and Lemma 2.2. ■

Lemma 2.24 (Compatibility: unpack)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \exists\alpha.\tau'$ and $\Delta, \alpha; \Gamma, x:\tau' \vdash e_3 \lesssim^\iota e_4 : \tau$ with $\Delta \vdash \tau$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=e_1$ in $e_3 \lesssim^\iota \text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=e_2$ in $e_4 : \tau$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=e_1 \text{ in } e_3), \delta_2\gamma_2(\text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=e_2 \text{ in } e_4)) \text{ in } E_n^t[\tau]\rho$
- Assume that $w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=e_1 \text{ in } e_3)$ terminates in $j_1 + 1 + j_2 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=e_1 \text{ in } e_3) \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_1} & \sigma_1'; \text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle = \text{pack}\langle\tau_1, v_1\rangle \text{ in } \delta_1\gamma_1(e_3) \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma_1'; \delta_1\gamma_1(e_3)[\tau_1/\alpha][v_1/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_2} & \sigma_1; v_3
\end{aligned}$$

- Instantiating the first assumption yields the existence of $(k - j_1, w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ such that $w.\sigma_2; \delta_2\gamma_2(\text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=e_2 \text{ in } e_4) \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; \text{unpack}\langle\alpha, x\rangle=\text{pack}\langle\tau_2, v_2\rangle \text{ in } \delta_2\gamma_2(e_4)$ with $w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k - j_1, w', \text{pack}\langle\tau_1, v_1\rangle, \text{pack}\langle\tau_2, v_2\rangle) \in V_n^t[\exists\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
- Hence there is r such that $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k-j_1}^t[\Omega]w'$ and $(k - j_1 - 1, [w']_{k-j_1-1}, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- By Lemma 2.7, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, [\rho]_{k-j_1}) \in D_{k-j_1}^t[\Delta]w'$.
- Let $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') := ((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1), ((\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2), ([\rho]_{k-j_1}, \alpha \mapsto r)))$, so $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_{k-j_1}^t[\Delta, \alpha]w'$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8) we know $(k - j_1 - 1, [w']_{k-j_1-1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), $(k - j_1 - 1, [w']_{k-j_1-1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_{k-j_1}^t[\Gamma]\rho'$.
- Let $\gamma'_i := \gamma_i, x \mapsto v_i$, so by Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6) we get $(k - j_1 - 1, [w']_{k-j_1-1}, \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in G_{k-j_1}^t[\Gamma, x:\tau'']\rho'$.
- Now, instantiating the second assumption with $w' \in \text{World}_{k-j_1}$, $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_{k-j_1}^t[\Delta, \alpha]w'$ and $(k - j_1 - 1, [w']_{k-j_1-1}, \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in G_{k-j_1}^t[\Gamma, x:\tau'']\rho'$ yields $(k - j_1 - 1, [w']_{k-j_1-1}, \delta'_1\gamma'_1(e_3), \delta'_2\gamma'_2(e_4)) \in E_{k-j_1}^t[\tau]\rho'$.
- Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta'_i\gamma'_i(e_{i+2}) \\
&= \delta_i(\gamma_i(e_{i+2})[v_i/x])[v_i/\alpha] \\
&= \delta_i\gamma_i(e_{i+2})[v_i/x][\tau_i/\alpha] && \text{since } \vdash w'.\sigma_i; v_i : (\rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-j_1}^t[\tau'']w'.\rho)^i(\tau') \\
&= \delta_i\gamma_i(e_{i+2})[\tau_i/\alpha][v_i/x] && \text{since } \vdash w'.\sigma_i; v_i : (\rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-j_1}^t[\tau'']w'.\rho)^i(\tau')
\end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, $\sigma'_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(e_3)[\tau_1/\alpha][v_1/x] \hookrightarrow^{j_2} \sigma_1; v_3$ implies the existence of $(k - j, w'') \sqsupseteq (k - j_1 - 1, [w']_{k-j_1})$ such that $w'.\sigma_2; \delta_2\gamma_2(e_4)[\tau_2/\alpha][v_2/x] \hookrightarrow^* w''\sigma_2; v_4$ with $w''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k - j, w'', v_3, v_4) \in V_{k-j_1}^t[\tau]\rho'$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and, since $\Delta \vdash \tau$, by Irrelevance (2.6), $(k - j, w'', v_3, v_4) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho$. ■

Lemma 2.25 (Compatibility: new)

If $\Delta, \alpha \approx \tau'; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^t e_2 : \tau$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau$ and $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e_1 \lesssim^t \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e_2 : \tau$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(\text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e_2)) \in E_n^t[\tau]\rho$.
- Assume $w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e_1)$ terminates in $1 + j' =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w.\sigma_1; \delta_1\gamma_1(\text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e_1) \\
& \hookrightarrow^1 w.\sigma_1, \alpha_1 \approx \delta_1(\tau'); \delta_1\gamma_1(e_1)[\alpha_1/\alpha] \\
& \hookrightarrow^{j'} \sigma_1; v_1
\end{aligned}$$

- Note that $w.\sigma_2; \delta_2\gamma_2(\text{new } \alpha \approx \tau' \text{ in } e_2) \hookrightarrow^1 w.\sigma_2, \alpha_2 \approx \delta_2(\tau'); \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2)[\alpha_2/\alpha]$.
- Let $w_\alpha := w \uplus (\alpha_1 \approx \delta_1(\tau'), \alpha_2 \approx \delta_2(\tau'), \alpha \mapsto (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_k^t[\tau'] \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k))$, so $(k, w_\alpha) \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- By Lemma 2.7, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k) \in D_k^t[\Delta]w_\alpha$.
- Let $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') := ((\delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_1), (\delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_2), (\lfloor \rho \rfloor_k, \alpha \mapsto (\rho^1(\tau'), \rho^2(\tau'), V_k^t[\tau'] \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k)))$.
- Note that $w_\alpha.\sigma_i(\alpha_i) = \delta_i(\tau')$, $\alpha_i = w_\alpha.\eta^i(\alpha)$, and $w_\alpha.\rho(\alpha).R = V_k^t[\tau'] \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k$.
- Therefore, $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_k^t[\Delta, \alpha \approx \tau']w_\alpha$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8) we know $(k-1, \lfloor w_\alpha \rfloor_{k-1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t[\Gamma]\rho$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), $(k-1, \lfloor w_\alpha \rfloor_{k-1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_k^t[\Gamma]\rho'$.
- Now instantiate the assumption with $w_\alpha \in \text{World}_k$, $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_k^t[\Delta, \alpha \approx \tau']w_\alpha$, $(k-1, \lfloor w_\alpha \rfloor_{k-1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_k^t[\Gamma]\rho'$ and $(k-1, \lfloor w_\alpha \rfloor_{k-1}) \sqsupseteq (k, w_\alpha)$ to get $(k-1, \lfloor w \rfloor_{k-1}, \delta'_1\gamma_1(e_1), \delta'_2\gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_k^t[\tau']\rho'$.
- Note that $\delta'_i\gamma_i(e_i) = \delta_i\gamma_i(e_i)[\alpha_i/\alpha]$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k-j, w'') \sqsupseteq (k-1, w_\alpha)$ such that $w.\sigma_2, \alpha_2 \approx \delta_2(\tau'); \delta_2\gamma_2(e_2) \hookrightarrow^* w''.\sigma_2; v_2$ with $w''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k-j, w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_k^t[\tau']\rho'$.
- Because of $\Delta \vdash \tau$, Irrelevance (2.6) and Restriction (2.4) yield $(k-j, w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^t[\tau]\rho$.

■

Lemma 2.26 (Compatibility: cast)

If $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau_1$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau_2$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \text{cast } \tau_1 \tau_2 \lesssim^\bullet \text{cast } \tau_1 \tau_2 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2$.

Proof:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\bullet[\Gamma]\rho$ and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \text{cast } \delta_1(\tau_1) \delta_1(\tau_2), \text{cast } \delta_2(\tau_1) \delta_2(\tau_2)) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2]\rho$.
- Case $\delta_1(\tau_1) = \delta_1(\tau_2)$:
 - Then we have the following reductions:
$$w.\sigma_i; \text{cast } \delta_i(\tau_1) \delta_i(\tau_2) \hookrightarrow^1 w.\sigma_i; \lambda x_1:\delta_i(\tau_1).\lambda x_2:\delta_i(\tau_2).x_1$$
 - Hence it suffices to show
$$(k-1, \lfloor w \rfloor_{k-1}, \lambda x_1:\delta_1(\tau_1).\lambda x_2:\delta_1(\tau_2).x_1, \lambda x_1:\delta_2(\tau_1).\lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_2).x_1) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2]\rho$$
 - So suppose $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k-1, \lfloor w \rfloor_{k-1})$ and $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau_1]\rho$.
 - To show: $(k', w', \lambda x_2:\delta_1(\tau_2).v_1, \lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_2).v_2) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2]\rho$.
 - By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k', w', \lambda x_2:\delta_1(\tau_2).v_1, \lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_2).v_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2]\rho$.
 - So suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ and $(k'', w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau_2]\rho$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', v_1, v_2) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau_2]$

- By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k'', w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8), $(k'', w'', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \rho$.
- Let $\delta := \text{au}(\delta_1, \delta_2, w_0.\eta)$.
- Then $\delta(\tau_1) = w_0.\eta^{-i}\delta_1(\tau_1) = w_0.\eta^{-i}\delta_1(\tau_2) = \delta(\tau_2)$ by Lemma 2.13.
- The claim then follows by Lemma 2.14.
- Case $\delta_1(\tau_1) \neq \delta_1(\tau_2)$:
 - Then we have the following reductions:
 $w.\sigma_i; \text{cast } \delta_i(\tau_1) \delta_i(\tau_2) \hookrightarrow^1 w.\sigma_i; \lambda x_1:\delta_i(\tau_1).\lambda x_2:\delta_i(\tau_2).x_2$
 - Hence it suffices to show
 $(k-1, [w]_{k-1}, \lambda x_1:\delta_1(\tau_1).\lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_1).x_2, \lambda x_1:\delta_2(\tau_1).\lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_2).x_2) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$.
 - So suppose $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k-1, [w]_{k-1})$ and $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket \rho$.
 - To show: $(k', w', \lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_1).x_2, \lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_2).x_2) \in E_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$.
 - By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k', w', \lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_1).x_2, \lambda x_2:\delta_2(\tau_2).x_2) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$.
 - So suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ and $(k'', w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$.
 - By Inclusion (2.3) it suffices to show $(k'', w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket \rho$, which is given.

■

Lemma 2.27 (Compatibility: conv)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau'$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau \approx \tau'$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau$.

Proof: Follows from Type Compatibility (2.11). ■

Note that we do *not* have compatibility of \lesssim° with CAST.

Lemma 2.28

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau$, $\Delta' \supseteq \Delta$, $\Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma$, and $\Delta' \vdash \Gamma$, then $\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\iota e_2 : \tau$.

Proof:

- By assumption and Weakening we know $\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash e_i : \tau$.
- So suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_n^t \llbracket \Delta' \rrbracket w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2) \in G_n^t \llbracket \Gamma' \rrbracket \rho'$, and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta'_1 \gamma'_1(e_1), \delta'_2 \gamma'_2(e_2)) \in E_n^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho'$
- Let δ_i, ρ, γ_i be the restriction of $\delta'_i, \rho', \gamma'_i$ to $\text{dom}(\Delta)$, $\text{dom}(\Delta)$, $\text{dom}(\Gamma)$ respectively.
- It's easy to see that then $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^t \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w_0$ and, with the help of Irrelevance (2.6), $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^t \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rho$.
- Hence by assumption, $(k, w, \delta_1 \gamma_1(e_1), \delta_2 \gamma_2(e_2)) \in E^t \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.

- Since $\Delta \vdash \tau$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash \gamma_i$ by assumption and Validity, we have $\delta'_i \gamma'_i(e_i) = \delta_i \gamma_i(e_i)$.
- The claim then follows by Irrelevance (2.6). ■

Lemma 2.29 (Fundamental Property)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \lesssim^\bullet e : \tau$.

Proof: By induction on the derivation, in each case using the appropriate compatibility lemma. ■

Lemma 2.30 (Fundamental Property)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and e does not use `cast`, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e \lesssim^\circ e : \tau$.

Proof: By induction on the derivation, in each case using the appropriate compatibility lemma. ■

Lemma 2.31 (Congruence)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\bullet e_2 : \tau$ and $C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\Delta'; \Gamma'; \tau')$, then $\Delta'; \Gamma' \vdash C[e_1] \lesssim^\bullet C[e_2] : \tau'$.

Proof: By induction on the derivation of the context typing, in each case using the appropriate compatibility lemma and possibly the Fundamental Property. For $C = [_]$ we use Lemma 2.28. ■

Theorem 2.32 (Soundness of \lesssim^\bullet wrt. \preceq)

If $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau$ and $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\bullet e_2 : \tau$, then $\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e_1 \preceq e_2 : \tau$.

Proof:

- Suppose $\vdash \sigma$ and $C : (\Delta; \Gamma; \tau) \rightsquigarrow (\sigma; \emptyset; \tau')$ and $\sigma; C[e_1] \downarrow$, i.e., $\sigma; C[e_1] \hookrightarrow^j \sigma_1; v_1$.
- To show: $\sigma; C[e_2] \downarrow$, i.e., $\sigma; C[e_2] \hookrightarrow^* \sigma_2; v_2$
- By Congruence (2.31) we have $\sigma; \emptyset \vdash C[e_1] \lesssim^\bullet C[e_2] : \tau'$.
- Say $\sigma = \alpha_1 \approx \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \approx \tau_n$.
- Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_0 &:= \epsilon \\
\sigma_{i+1} &:= \sigma_i, \alpha_{i+1} \approx \tau_{i+1} \\
\delta_0 &:= \emptyset \\
\delta_{i+1} &:= \delta_i, \alpha_{i+1} \mapsto \alpha_{i+1} \\
\rho_0 &:= \emptyset \\
\rho_{i+1} &:= \rho_i, \alpha_{i+1} \mapsto V_{j+2}^\bullet[\tau_{i+1}] \rho_i \\
w &:= (\sigma, \sigma, \{\alpha_i \mapsto (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \rho_n)
\end{aligned}$$

- First, note that $\rho_i \in \text{Interp}_{j+2}$ and $w \in \text{World}_{j+2}$.
- Furthermore, given $0 \leq i < n$, it is easy to see that $(\delta_i, \delta_i, \rho_i) \in D_{j+2}^\bullet[\sigma_i]w$ implies $(\delta_{i+1}, \delta_{i+1}, \rho_{i+1}) \in D_{j+2}^\bullet[\sigma_{i+1}]w$.
- Together with $(\delta_0, \delta_0, \rho_0) \in D_{j+2}^\bullet[\epsilon]w$ this means $(\delta_n, \delta_n, \rho_n) \in D_{j+2}^\bullet[\sigma]w$.
- Now instantiate $\sigma; \emptyset \vdash C[e_1] \lesssim^\bullet C[e_2] : \tau'$ with $w \in \text{World}_{j+2}$, $(\delta_n, \delta_n, \rho_n) \in D_{j+2}^\bullet[\sigma]w$ and $(j, [w]_j, \emptyset, \emptyset) \in G_{j+2}^\bullet[\epsilon]\rho_n$ to get $(j+1, [w]_{j+1}, \delta_n\emptyset(C[e_1]), \delta_n\emptyset(C[e_2])) \in E_{j+2}^\bullet[\tau']\rho_n$.
- Note that $\delta_n\emptyset(C[e_i]) = C[e_i]$.
- Consequently, $\sigma; C[e_1] \hookrightarrow^j \sigma_1; v_1$ implies $\sigma; C[e_2] \hookrightarrow^* \sigma_2; v_2$.

■

2.4 Wrapping

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{new}^+ \alpha \text{ in } e & := \text{new } \alpha \approx \alpha \text{ in } e \\
\text{new}^- \alpha \text{ in } e & := e \\
\\
\text{Wr}_\alpha^\pm(v) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v \\
\text{Wr}_b^\pm(v) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v \\
\text{Wr}_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2}^\pm(v) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{let } x=v \text{ in } \langle \text{Wr}_{\tau_1}^\pm(x.1), \text{Wr}_{\tau_2}^\pm(x.2) \rangle \\
\text{Wr}_{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}^\pm(v) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda x:\tau_1. \text{Wr}_{\tau_2}^\pm(v \text{ Wr}_{\tau_1}^\mp(x)) \\
\text{Wr}_{\exists \alpha.\tau}^\pm(v) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{unpack } \langle \alpha, x \rangle = v \text{ in } \text{new}^\pm \alpha \text{ in } \text{pack } \langle \alpha, \text{Wr}_\tau^\pm(x) \rangle \\
\text{Wr}_{\forall \alpha.\tau}^\pm(v) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda \alpha. \text{new}^\mp \alpha \text{ in } \text{Wr}_\tau^\pm(v \alpha) \\
\text{Wr}_\tau^\pm(e) & \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{let } x=e \text{ in } \text{Wr}_\tau^\pm(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.33

If $\sigma : e \hookrightarrow^j \sigma'; e'$, then $\sigma; \text{Wr}_\tau^\pm(e) \hookrightarrow^j \sigma'; \text{Wr}_\tau^\pm(e')$.

Proof: Obvious from the definition. ■

2.5 Relating the Relations

Lemma 2.34

$$T_n^\bullet[\Omega]w = T_n^-[\Omega]w \subseteq T_n^+[\Omega]w = T_n^\circ[\Omega]w$$

Theorem 2.35 (Chain)

1. $V_n^-[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^+[\tau]\rho$
2. $E_n^-[\tau]\rho \subseteq E_n^\iota[\tau]\rho \subseteq E_n^+[\tau]\rho$

Proof: By mutual induction on τ .

1.
 - Case $\tau = \alpha$: Trivial
 - Case $\tau = \tau' \times \tau''$: Follows easily by induction.
 - Case $\tau = \tau'' \rightarrow \tau'$:
 - (a) $V_n^-[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho$:
 - Suppose $(k, w, \lambda x:\tau_1.e_1, \lambda x:\tau_2.e_2) \in V_n^-[\tau'' \rightarrow \tau']\rho$.
 - Suppose $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\iota[\tau'']\rho$ with $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
 - By induction, $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^+[\tau'']\rho$.
 - Hence by assumption, $(k', w', e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in E_n^-[\tau']\rho$.
 - So by induction, $(k', w', e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in E_n^\iota[\tau']\rho$.
 - Therefore, $(k, w, \lambda x:\tau_1.e_1, \lambda x:\tau_2.e_2) \in V_n^\iota[\tau'' \rightarrow \tau']\rho$.
 - (b) $V_n^\iota[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^+[\tau]\rho$:
 - Suppose $(k, w, \lambda x:\tau_1.e_1, \lambda x:\tau_2.e_2) \in V_n^\iota[\tau'' \rightarrow \tau']\rho$.
 - Suppose $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^-[\tau'']\rho$ with $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.

- By induction, $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{\iota}[\tau'']\rho$.
 - Hence by assumption, $(k', w', e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in E_n^{\iota}[\tau']\rho$.
 - So by induction, $(k', w', e_1[v_1/x], e_2[v_2/x]) \in E_n^{\iota}[\tau']\rho$.
 - Therefore, $(k, w, \lambda x:\tau_1.e_1, \lambda x:\tau_2.e_2) \in V_n^{\iota}[\tau'' \rightarrow \tau']\rho$.
- Case $\tau = \forall\alpha.\tau'$:
 - (a) $V_n^{-}[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^{\iota}[\tau]\rho$:
 - Suppose $(k, w, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in V_n^{-}[\forall\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - Suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w')$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{-\iota}[\Omega]w'$.
 - By Lemma 2.34, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{+}[\Omega]w'$.
 - Hence by assumption, $(k', w', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^{-}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - So by induction, $(k', w', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^{\iota}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - Therefore, $(k, w, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in V_n^{\iota}[\forall\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - (b) $V_n^{\iota}[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^{+}[\tau]\rho$:
 - Suppose $(k, w, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in V_n^{\iota}[\forall\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - Suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupset (k', w')$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{-}[\Omega]w'$.
 - By Lemma 2.34, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{\iota}[\Omega]w'$.
 - Hence by assumption, $(k', w', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^{\iota}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - So by induction, $(k', w', e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^{+}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
 - Therefore, $(k, w, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in V_n^{+}[\forall\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - Case $\tau = \exists\alpha.\tau'$:
 - (a) $V_n^{-}[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^{\iota}[\tau]\rho$:
 - Suppose $(k, w, \text{pack}\langle\tau_1, v_1\rangle, \text{pack}\langle\tau_2, v_2\rangle) \in V_n^{-}[\exists\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - So there is r such that $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^{-}[\Omega]w$ and $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{-}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ for any $(k', w') \sqsupset (k, w)$.
 - So by induction, $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{\iota}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ for any $(k', w') \sqsupset (k, w)$.
 - By Lemma 2.34, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^{\iota}[\Omega]w$.
 - Therefore, $(k, w, \text{pack}\langle\tau_1, e_1\rangle, \text{pack}\langle\tau_2, e_2\rangle) \in V_n^{\iota}[\exists\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - (b) $V_n^{\iota}[\tau]\rho \subseteq V_n^{+}[\tau]\rho$:
 - Suppose $(k, w, \text{pack}\langle\tau_1, v_1\rangle, \text{pack}\langle\tau_2, v_2\rangle) \in V_n^{\iota}[\exists\alpha.\tau']\rho$.
 - So there is r such that $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^{\iota}[\Omega]w$ and $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{\iota}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ for any $(k', w') \sqsupset (k, w)$.
 - So by induction, $(k', w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{+}[\tau']\rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ for any $(k', w') \sqsupset (k, w)$.
 - By Lemma 2.34, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^{+}[\Omega]w$.
 - Therefore, $(k, w, \text{pack}\langle\tau_1, e_1\rangle, \text{pack}\langle\tau_2, e_2\rangle) \in V_n^{+}[\exists\alpha.\tau']\rho$.

2. Follows easily from part (1). ■

Theorem 2.36 (Wrapping I)

Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^{\circ}[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$, and $\Delta \vdash \tau$.

1. (a) If $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(v_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(v_2))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
 (b) If $(k, w, e_1, e_2) \in E_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(e_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(e_2))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
2. (a) If $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{+,\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^-(v_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^-(v_2))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
 (b) If $(k, w, e_1, e_2) \in E_n^{+,\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^-(e_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^-(e_2))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.

Proof: By induction on τ . Note that δ_i only affects the type annotations of abstractions and packages produced by Wr^\pm .

1. (a)
 - Case $\tau = \alpha$ or $\tau = b$: Trivial.
 - Case $\tau = \tau' \rightarrow \tau''$: $v_i = \lambda x:\tau_i.e_i$
 - Suppose $(k', w', v_3, v_4) \in V_n^{+,\bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho$ where $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
 - To show: $(k', w', \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+((\lambda x:\tau_1.e_1) \text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v_3))), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+((\lambda x.e_2) \text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v_4)))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau'' \rrbracket \rho$.
 - So suppose $w'.\sigma_1; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+((\lambda x:\tau_1.e_1) \text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v_3)))$ terminates in $j_1 + 1 + j_2 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & w'.\sigma_1; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+((\lambda x:\tau_1.e_1) \text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v_3))) \\
 \hookrightarrow^{j_1} & \sigma''_1; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+((\lambda x:\tau_1.e_1) v'_3)) \\
 \hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma''_1; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+(e_1[v'_3/x])) \\
 \hookrightarrow^{j_2} & \sigma_1; v'_1
 \end{aligned}$$

- By induction, $(k', w', \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v_3)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v_4))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho$.
- This implies the existence of $(k' - j_1, w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ such that $w''.\sigma_2; \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+((\lambda x:\tau_2.e_2) \text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v_4))) \hookrightarrow^* w''.\sigma_2; \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+((\lambda x:\tau_2.e_2) v'_4))$ with $w''.\sigma_1 = \sigma''_1$ and $(k' - j_1, w'', v'_3, v'_4) \in V_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho$.
- So by assumption, $(k' - j_1, w'', e_1[v'_3/x], e_2[v'_4/x]) \in E_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau'' \rrbracket \rho$.
- By induction, $(k' - j_1, w'', \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+(e_1[v'_3/x])), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+(e_2[v'_4/x]))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau'' \rrbracket \rho$.
- Now by Closure Under World Extension (2.8), $(k' - j_1 - 1, \lfloor w'' \rfloor_{k-j_1-1}, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+(e_1[v'_3/x])), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+(e_2[v'_4/x]))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau'' \rrbracket \rho$.
- Hence there exists $(k' - j, w''') \sqsupseteq (k' - j_1 - 1, \lfloor w'' \rfloor_{k-j_1-1})$ such that $w'''.\sigma_2; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau''}^+(e_2[v'_4/x])) \hookrightarrow^* w'''.\sigma_2; v'_2$ with $w'''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k - j, w''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau'' \rrbracket \rho$.

- Case $\tau = \forall \alpha.\tau'$: $v_i = \Lambda \alpha.e_i$
 - To show: $(k, w, \Lambda \alpha.\delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v_1 \alpha)), \Lambda \alpha.\delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v_2 \alpha))) \in V_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \forall \alpha.\tau' \rrbracket \rho$
 - Suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{+,\bullet} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w'$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+((\Lambda \alpha.e_1) \tau_1)), \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+((\Lambda \alpha.e_2) \tau_2))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$, for $\delta'_i := \delta_i, \alpha \mapsto \tau_i$.
 - So suppose $w''.\sigma_1; \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+((\Lambda \alpha.e_1) \tau_1))$ terminates in $1 + j_1 + j_2 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & w''.\sigma_1; \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+((\Lambda \alpha.e_1) \tau_1)) \\
 \hookrightarrow^1 & w''.\sigma_1; \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(e_1[\tau_1/\alpha])) \\
 \hookrightarrow^{j_1} & \sigma'_1; \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_1)) \\
 \hookrightarrow^{j_2} & \sigma_1; v''_1
 \end{aligned}$$

- By Lemma 2.34, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{\circ,-} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w'$.

- Instantiate the assumption with $(k'' - 1, \lfloor w'' \rfloor_{k''-1}) \sqsupseteq (k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ and (τ_1, τ_2, r) to get $(k'' - 1, \lfloor w'' \rfloor_{k''-1}, e_1[\tau_1/\alpha], e_2[\tau_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k'' - 1 - j_1, w''') \sqsupseteq (k'' - 1, \lfloor w'' \rfloor_{k''-1})$ such that $w'' \cdot \sigma_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(e_2[\tau_2/\alpha])) \hookrightarrow^* w''' \cdot \sigma_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_2))$ with $w''' \cdot \sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k'' - 1 - j_1, w''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Since $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}) \in D_{k'}^{\circ} \llbracket \Delta, \alpha \rrbracket w'$ by Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.34 yields $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, (\lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r)) \in D_{k'}^{\circ} \llbracket \Delta, \alpha \rrbracket w'$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), $(k'' - 1 - j_1, w''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Hence by induction, $(k'' - 1 - j_1, w''', \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_1)), \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_2))) \in E_n^{-,\bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Hence there exists $(k'' - j, w'''') \sqsupseteq (k'' - j_1 - 1, w''')$ such that $w''' \cdot \sigma_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_2)) \hookrightarrow^* w'''' \cdot \sigma_2; v'_2$ with $w'''' \cdot \sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k'' - j, w'''' , v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{-,\bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k'}, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6) the latter means $(k'' - j, w'''' , v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{-,\bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Case $\tau = \exists \alpha. \tau'$: $v_i = \text{pack}\langle \tau_i, v'_i \rangle$
 - To show: $(k, w, \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_1 \text{ in new } \alpha \approx \alpha \text{ in pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(x)) \rangle, \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_2 \text{ in new } \alpha \approx \alpha \text{ in pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(x)) \rangle) \in E_n^{-,\bullet} \llbracket \exists \alpha. \tau' \rrbracket \rho$
 - So suppose $w \cdot \sigma_1; \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_1 \text{ in new } \alpha \approx \alpha \text{ in pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(x)) \rangle$ terminates in $1 + 1 + j' =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w \cdot \sigma_1; \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_1 \text{ in new } \alpha \approx \alpha \text{ in pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(x)) \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w \cdot \sigma_1; \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau_1 \text{ in pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_1)) \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w \cdot \sigma_1, \alpha_1 \approx \tau_1; \text{pack}\langle \alpha_1, \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_1)) \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^{j'} & \sigma_1; \text{pack}\langle \alpha_1, v'_1 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta'_1 := \delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_1$

- Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& w \cdot \sigma_2; \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_2 \text{ in new } \alpha \approx \alpha \text{ in pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(x)) \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w \cdot \sigma_2; \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau_2 \text{ in pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_2)) \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w \cdot \sigma_2, \alpha_2 \approx \tau_2; \text{pack}\langle \alpha_2, \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_2)) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta'_2 := \delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_2$

- By assumption we know $(k', w', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ for some r with $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^{\circ,+} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w$ and any $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- Let $w_\alpha := \lfloor w \rfloor_{k-1} \uplus (\alpha_1 \approx \tau_1, \alpha_2 \approx \tau_2, \alpha \mapsto (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \alpha \mapsto \lfloor r \rfloor_{k-1})$, so $(k - 1, w_\alpha) \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- Hence $(k - 1, w_\alpha, v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8), $(k - 2, \lfloor w_\alpha \rfloor_{k-2}, v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Let $r' := (w_\alpha \cdot \rho^1(\alpha), w_\alpha \cdot \rho^2(\alpha), V_{k-1}^{\bullet} \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha) = \lfloor r \rfloor_{k-1}$, so $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, r') \in T_{k-1}^{-,\bullet} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w_\alpha$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), $(k - 2, \lfloor w_\alpha \rfloor_{k-2}, v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho'$ for $\rho' := \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k-1}, \alpha \mapsto r'$.

- By Lemma 2.34, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, r') \in T_{k-1}^\circ \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w_\alpha$.
- Furthermore $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k-1}) \in D_{k-1}^\circ \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w_\alpha$ by Lemma 2.7, so $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \rho') \in D_{k-1}^\circ \llbracket \Delta, \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha$.
- Hence induction yields $(k-2, \lfloor w_\alpha \rfloor_{k-2}, \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_1)), \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_2))) \in E_n^{-\bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho'$.
- Because $w_\alpha \cdot \sigma_1 = w \cdot \sigma_1, \alpha_1 \approx \tau_1$, this implies the existence of $(k-j, w') \sqsupseteq (k-2, w_\alpha)$ such that $w \cdot \sigma_2, \alpha_2 \approx \tau_2; \text{pack}\langle \alpha_2, \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^+(v'_2)) \rangle \hookrightarrow^* w' \cdot \sigma_2; \text{pack}\langle \alpha_2, v'_2 \rangle$ with $w' \cdot \sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k-j, w', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{-\bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho'$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), $(k-j, w', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{-\bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r'$.
- Since $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, r') \in T_{k-j}^{-\bullet} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w'$ by Lemma 2.34, $(k-j, w', \text{pack}\langle \alpha', v'_1 \rangle, \text{pack}\langle \alpha', v'_2 \rangle) \in V_n^{-\bullet} \llbracket \exists \alpha. \tau' \rrbracket \rho$ follows by Closure Under World Extension (2.8).

- (b) • Suppose $w \cdot \sigma_1; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(e_1))$ terminates in $j_1 + j_2 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{array}{l} w \cdot \sigma_1; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(e_1)) \\ \hookrightarrow^{j_1} \sigma'_1; \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(v_1)) \\ \hookrightarrow^{j_2} \sigma_1; v'_1 \end{array}$$

- So by assumption there exists $(k-j_1, w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$ such that $w \cdot \sigma_2; \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(e_2)) \hookrightarrow^* w' \cdot \sigma_2; \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(v_2))$ with $w' \cdot \sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k-j_1, w', v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{\circ,+} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
- By part (a), $(k-j_1, w', \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(v_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(v_2))) \in E_n^{-\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k-j, w'') \sqsupseteq (k-j_1, w')$ such that $w' \cdot \sigma_2; \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^+(v_2)) \hookrightarrow^* w'' \cdot \sigma_2; v'_2$ with $w'' \cdot \sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k-j, w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{-\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.

2. (a) • Case $\tau = \alpha$ or $\tau = b$: Trivial.
- Case $\tau = \tau' \rightarrow \tau''$: Symmetric to arrow case of (1a).
- Case $\tau = \forall \alpha. \tau'$: $v_i = \Lambda \alpha. e_i$
- To show: $(k, w, \Lambda \alpha. \text{new } \alpha = \alpha \text{ in } \delta_1(\text{Wr}_\tau^-(v_1 \alpha)), \Lambda \alpha. \text{new } \alpha = \alpha \text{ in } \delta_2(\text{Wr}_\tau^-(v_2 \alpha))) \in V_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \forall \alpha. \tau' \rrbracket \rho$
 - Suppose $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ and $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{k'}^{\circ,+} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w'$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau_1 \text{ in } \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(\Lambda \alpha. e_1 \alpha)), \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau_2 \text{ in } \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(\Lambda \alpha. e_2 \alpha))) \in E_n^{\circ,-} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$
 - So suppose $w'' \cdot \sigma_1; \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau_1 \text{ in } \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(\Lambda \alpha. e_1 \alpha))$ terminates in $1+1+j_1+j_2 =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{array}{l} w'' \cdot \sigma_1; \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau_1 \text{ in } \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(\Lambda \alpha. e_1 \alpha)) \\ \hookrightarrow^1 w'' \cdot \sigma_1, \alpha_1 \approx \tau_1; \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(\Lambda \alpha. e_1 \alpha_1)) \\ \hookrightarrow^1 w'' \cdot \sigma_1, \alpha_1 \approx \tau_1; \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(e_1[\alpha_1/\alpha])) \\ \hookrightarrow^{j_1} \sigma'_1; \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_1)) \\ \hookrightarrow^{j_2} \sigma_1; v'_1 \end{array}$$

where $\delta'_1 := \delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_1$

- Note that

$$\begin{array}{l} w'' \cdot \sigma; \text{new } \alpha \approx \tau_2 \text{ in } \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(\Lambda \alpha. e_2 \alpha)) \\ \hookrightarrow^1 w'' \cdot \sigma, \alpha_2 \approx \tau_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(\Lambda \alpha. e_2 \alpha_2)) \\ \hookrightarrow^1 w'' \cdot \sigma, \alpha_2 \approx \tau_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(e_2[\alpha_2/\alpha])) \end{array}$$

where $\delta'_2 := \delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \alpha_2$

- Let $w''_\alpha := \lfloor w'' \rfloor_{k''-1} \uplus (\alpha_1 \approx \tau_1, \alpha_2 \approx \tau_2, \alpha \mapsto (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \alpha \mapsto \lfloor r \rfloor_{k''-1})$, so $(k''-1, w''_\alpha) \sqsupset (k'', w'')$.
- Let $r' := (w''_\alpha \cdot \rho^1(\alpha), w''_\alpha \cdot \rho^2(\alpha), V_{k''-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w''_\alpha \cdot \rho) = \lfloor r \rfloor_{k-1}$, so $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, r') \in T_{k''-1}^\bullet \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w''_\alpha$.
- Instantiating the assumption with $(k''-2, \lfloor w''_\alpha \rfloor_{k''-2}) \sqsupset (k''-1, w''_\alpha) \sqsupseteq (k'', w'')$ and (α_1, α_2, r') yields $(k''-2, \lfloor w''_\alpha \rfloor_{k''-2}, e_1[\alpha_1/\alpha], e_2[\alpha_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^{+, \bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r'$.
- Consequently there exists $(k''-2-j_1, w''''') \sqsupseteq (k''-2, \lfloor w''_\alpha \rfloor_{k''-2})$ such that $w'''''.\sigma_2, \alpha_2 \approx \tau_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(e_2[\alpha_2/\alpha])) \hookrightarrow^* w'''''.\sigma_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_2))$ with $w'''''.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k''-2-j_1, w''''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{+, \bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r'$.
- By Lemma 2.34, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, r') \in T_{k''-1}^\circ \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w''_\alpha$.
- Furthermore $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k''-2}) \in D_{k''-2}^\circ \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w''_\alpha$ by Lemma 2.7, so $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, (\lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k''-2}, \alpha \mapsto r')) \in D_{k''-2}^\circ \llbracket \Delta, \alpha \rrbracket w''_\alpha$.
- Hence by induction, $(k''-2-j_1, w''''', \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_1)), \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_2))) \in E_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k''-2}, \alpha \mapsto r'$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k''-j, w''''') \sqsupseteq (k''-2-j_1, w''''')$ such that $w'''''.\sigma_2; \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_2)) \hookrightarrow^* w'''''.\sigma_2; v'_2$ such that $w'''''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k''-j, w''''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \lfloor \rho \rfloor_{k''-2}, \alpha \mapsto r'$.
- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), $(k''-j, w''''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Case $\tau = \exists \alpha. \tau'$: $v_i = \text{pack}\langle \tau_i, v'_i \rangle$
 - To show: $(k, w, \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_1 \text{ in } \text{pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(x)) \rangle, \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_2 \text{ in } \text{pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(x)) \rangle) \in E_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \exists \alpha. \tau' \rrbracket \rho$
 - So suppose $w.\sigma_1; \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_1 \text{ in } \text{pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(x)) \rangle$ terminates in $1+j' =: j < k$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned} & w.\sigma_1; \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_1 \text{ in } \text{pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(x)) \rangle \\ \hookrightarrow^1 & w.\sigma_1; \text{pack}\langle \tau_1, \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_1)) \rangle \\ \hookrightarrow^{j'} & \sigma_1; \text{pack}\langle \tau_1, v'_1 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta'_1 := \delta_1, \alpha \mapsto \tau_1$

– Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & w.\sigma_2; \text{unpack}\langle \alpha, x \rangle = v_2 \text{ in } \text{pack}\langle \alpha, \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(x)) \rangle \\ \hookrightarrow^1 & w.\sigma_2; \text{pack}\langle \tau_2, \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_2)) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta'_2 := \delta_2, \alpha \mapsto \tau_2$

- By assumption we know $(k-1, \lfloor w \rfloor_{k-1}, v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{+, \bullet} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$ for some r with $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^{+, \bullet} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w$.
- By Lemma 2.34, $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^\circ \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w$.
- Furthermore $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k) \in D_k^\circ \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w$ by Lemma 2.7, so $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, (\lfloor \rho \rfloor_k, \alpha \mapsto r)) \in D_k^\circ \llbracket \Delta, \alpha \rrbracket w$.
- Hence induction yields $(k-1, \lfloor w \rfloor_{k-1}, \delta'_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_1)), \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_2))) \in E_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k-j, w') \sqsupseteq (k-1, \lfloor w \rfloor_{k-1})$ such that $w.\sigma_2; \text{pack}\langle \tau_2, \delta'_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau'}^-(v'_2)) \rangle \hookrightarrow^* w'.\sigma_2; \text{pack}\langle \tau_2, v'_2 \rangle$ with $w'.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k-j, w', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \lfloor \rho \rfloor_k, \alpha \mapsto r$.

- By Restriction (2.4) and Irrelevance (2.6), $(k - j, w', v_1'', v_2'') \in V_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \tau' \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto r$.
- Since $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_k^{\circ, -} \llbracket \Omega \rrbracket w$ by Lemma 2.34, $(k - j, w', \text{pack}\langle \tau_1, v_1'' \rangle, \text{pack}\langle \tau_2, v_2'' \rangle) \in V_n^{\circ, -} \llbracket \exists \alpha. \tau' \rrbracket \rho$ follows by Closure Under World Extension (2.8).

(b) Symmetric to (1b). ■

Theorem 2.37 (Wrapping II)

Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^{\circ} \llbracket \Delta \rrbracket w_0$, $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$, and $\Delta \vdash \tau$.

1. (a) If $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{\circ} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^+(v_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^+(v_2))) \in E_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
- (b) If $(k, w, e_1, e_2) \in E_n^{\circ} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^+(e_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^+(e_2))) \in E_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
2. (a) If $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^-(v_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^-(v_2))) \in E_n^{\circ} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
- (b) If $(k, w, e_1, e_2) \in E_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$, then $(k, w, \delta_1(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^-(e_1)), \delta_2(\text{Wr}_{\tau}^-(e_2))) \in E_n^{\circ} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket \rho$.

Proof: Follows immediately from Wrapping I (2.36) and Chain (2.35). ■

Corollary 2.38

If $\vdash e_1 \lesssim^{\circ} e_2 : \tau$, then $\vdash \text{Wr}^+(e_1) \lesssim^{\bullet} \text{Wr}^+(e_2) : \tau$.

3 Examples

3.1 Semaphore ADT, \exists -version

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau &:= \alpha \times (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times (\alpha \rightarrow \text{bool}) \\
v_1 &:= \langle \text{true}, \lambda x:\alpha. \neg x, \lambda x:\alpha. x \rangle \\
v_2 &:= \langle 1, \lambda x:\alpha. 1 - x, \lambda x:\alpha. x \neq 0 \rangle \\
e_1 &:= \text{new } \alpha \approx \text{bool in pack}\langle \alpha, v_1 \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau \\
e_2 &:= \text{new } \alpha \approx \text{int in pack}\langle \alpha, v_2 \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau
\end{aligned}$$

We show $\epsilon; \epsilon \vdash e_1 \lesssim^{\bullet} e_2 : \exists \alpha. \tau$; the other direction is proven analogously.

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket w_0$, and $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket \rho$ with $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1 \gamma_1(e_1), \delta_2 \gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \exists \alpha. \tau \rrbracket \rho$, i.e., $(k, w, e_1, e_2) \in E_n^{\bullet} \llbracket \exists \alpha. \tau \rrbracket \rho$
- We know:
 - $w.\sigma_1; e_1 \hookrightarrow^1 w.\sigma_1, \alpha_1 \approx \text{bool}; \text{pack}\langle \alpha_1, v_1[\alpha_1/\alpha] \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau$
 - $w.\sigma_2; e_2 \hookrightarrow^* w.\sigma_1, \alpha_2 \approx \text{int}; \text{pack}\langle \alpha_2, v_2[\alpha_2/\alpha] \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau$

- Let

$$R := \{(k', w', v_a, v_b) \in \text{Atom}_{k-1} \mid (v_a, v_b) = (\text{true}, 1) \vee (v_a, v_b) = (\text{false}, 0)\}$$

$$w_\alpha := [w]_{k-1} \uplus (\alpha_1 \approx \text{bool}, \alpha_2 \approx \text{int}, \alpha \mapsto (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \alpha \mapsto R)$$

such that $(k-1, w_\alpha) \sqsupset (k, w)$.

- We claim $(k-1, w_\alpha, \text{pack}\langle \alpha_1, v_1[\alpha_1/\alpha] \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau, \text{pack}\langle \alpha_2, v_2[\alpha_2/\alpha] \rangle \text{ as } \exists \alpha. \tau) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \exists \alpha. \tau \rrbracket \rho$.
- Note that $\alpha_1 = w_\alpha^1(\alpha)$ and $\alpha_2 = w_\alpha^2(\alpha)$.
- So suppose $(k', w') \sqsupset (k-1, w_\alpha)$.
- To show: $(k', w', v_1[\alpha_1/\alpha], v_2[\alpha_2/\alpha]) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \times (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times (\alpha \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$, which decomposes into three parts:
 1. $(k', w', \text{true}, 1) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$, which holds since $V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho = V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho \cap \text{Atom}_n = w_\alpha. \rho(\alpha). R \cap \text{Atom}_{k-1} = R \ni (k', w', \text{true}, 1)$.
 2. $(k', w', \lambda x: \alpha_1. \neg x, \lambda x: \alpha_2. 1 - x) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$
 - Suppose $(k'', w'', v_3, v_4) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$ for $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$, i.e., $(k'', w'', v_3, v_4) \in R$, so either $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{true}, 1)$ or $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{false}, 0)$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', \neg v_3, 1 - v_4) \in E_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$.
 - If $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{true}, 1)$, then $w''. \sigma_1; \neg v_3 \hookrightarrow^1 w''. \sigma_1; \text{false}$ and $w''. \sigma_2; 1 - v_4 \hookrightarrow^* w''. \sigma_2; 0$.
 - Note that $(k'' - 1, [w'']_{k''-1}, \text{false}, 0) \in R = V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$.
 - Similarly for $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{false}, 0)$.
 3. $(k', w', \lambda x: \alpha_1. x, \lambda x: \alpha_2. x \neq 0) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rightarrow \text{bool} \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$
 - Suppose $(k'', w'', v_3, v_4) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$ for $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$, i.e., $(k'', w'', v_3, v_4) \in R$, so either $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{true}, 1)$ or $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{false}, 0)$.
 - To show: $(k'', w'', v_3, v_4 \neq 0) \in E_n^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$
 - If $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{true}, 1)$, then $w''. \sigma_1; v_3 \hookrightarrow^0 w''. \sigma_1; \text{true}$ and $w''. \sigma_2; v_4 \neq 0 \hookrightarrow^* w''. \sigma_2; \text{true}$.
 - Note that $(k'' - 1, [w'']_{k''-1}, \text{true}, \text{true}) \in V_n^\bullet \llbracket \text{bool} \rrbracket \rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k-1}^\bullet \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket w_\alpha. \rho$.
 - Similarly for $(v_3, v_4) = (\text{false}, 0)$.

3.2 Semaphore ADT, \forall -version

$$\tau := \alpha \times (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \times (\alpha \rightarrow \text{bool})$$

$$v_1 := \langle \text{true}, \lambda x: \text{bool}. \neg x, \lambda x: \text{bool}. x \rangle$$

$$v_2 := \langle 1, \lambda x: \text{int}. x - 1, \lambda x: \text{int}. x \neq 0 \rangle$$

$$e_1 := \lambda x: \forall \alpha. \tau \rightarrow \text{bool}. (\text{new } \alpha \approx \text{bool} \text{ in } x \alpha) v_1$$

$$e_2 := \lambda x: \forall \alpha. \tau \rightarrow \text{bool}. (\text{new } \alpha \approx \text{int} \text{ in } x \alpha) v_2$$

We show $\epsilon; \epsilon \vdash e_1 \lesssim^\bullet e_2 : (\forall \alpha. \tau \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool}$; the other direction is proven analogously.

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket w_0$, and $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\bullet \llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket \rho$ with $(k, w) \sqsupset (n, w_0)$.

- To show: $(k, w, w.\eta^1\delta\gamma_1(e_1), w.\eta^2\delta\gamma_2(e_2)) \in E_n^\bullet[(\forall\alpha.\tau \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool}]\rho$, i.e., $(k, w, e_1, e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[(\forall\alpha.\tau \rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool}]\rho$.
- So suppose $(k', w', \Lambda\alpha.e_3, \Lambda\alpha.e_4) \in V_n^\bullet[(\forall\alpha.\tau \rightarrow \text{bool})\rho]$ where $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- To show: $(k', w', (\text{new } \alpha \approx \text{bool in } (\Lambda\alpha.e_3) \alpha) v_1, (\text{new } \alpha \approx \text{int in } (\Lambda\alpha.e_4) \alpha) v_2) \in E_n^\bullet[\text{bool}]\rho$.
- Suppose $w'.\sigma_1; (\text{new } \alpha \approx \text{bool in } (\Lambda\alpha.e_3) \alpha) v_1$ terminates in $2 + j_1 + 1 + j_2 =: j < k'$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w'.\sigma_1; (\text{new } \alpha \approx \text{bool in } (\Lambda\alpha.e_3) \alpha) v_1 \\
\hookrightarrow^2 & w'.\sigma_1, \alpha_1 \approx \text{bool}; e_3[\alpha_1/\alpha] v_1 \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_1} & \sigma'_1; (\lambda x.e'_3) v_1 \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma'_1; e'_3[v_1/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_2} & \sigma_1; v_3
\end{aligned}$$

- We need to show the existence of $(k' - j, w'''') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ such that $w'.\sigma_2; (\text{new } \alpha \approx \text{bool in } (\Lambda\alpha.e_4) \alpha) v_2 \hookrightarrow^* w''''.\sigma_2; v_4$ with $w''''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k' - j, w'''' , v_3, v_4) \in V_n^\bullet[\text{bool}]\rho$.
- Let

$$\begin{aligned}
R & := \{(k'', w'', v_a, v_b) \in \text{Atom}_{k'-1} \mid (v_a, v_b) = (\text{true}, 1) \vee (v_a, v_b) = (\text{false}, 0)\} \\
w'_\alpha & := [w']_{k'-1} \uplus (\alpha_1 \approx \text{bool}, \alpha_2 \approx \text{int}, \alpha \mapsto (\alpha_1, \alpha_2), \alpha \mapsto R) \\
w'' & := [w'_\alpha]_{k'-2}
\end{aligned}$$

- Since $(k' - 2, w'') \sqsupseteq (k' - 1, w'_\alpha) \sqsupseteq (k', w')$ and $\alpha \in \text{Typ}_{\text{dom}(w'_\alpha.\rho)}$, we know $(k' - 2, w'', e_3[\alpha_1/\alpha], e_4[\alpha_2/\alpha]) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau \rightarrow \text{bool}]\rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k'-1}^\bullet[\alpha]w'_\alpha.\rho$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k' - 2 - j_1, w''') \sqsupseteq (k' - 2, w'')$ such that $w'.\sigma_2, \alpha_2 \approx \text{int}; e_4[\alpha_2/\alpha] v_2 \hookrightarrow^* w'''.\sigma_2; (\lambda x.e'_4) v_2$ with $w'''.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k' - 2 - j_1, w''', \lambda x.e'_3, \lambda x.e'_4) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau \rightarrow \text{bool}]\rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k'-1}^\bullet[\alpha]w'_\alpha.\rho$.
- If we can show $(k' - 2 - j_1 - 1, [w''']_{k'-2-j_1-1}, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k'-1}^\bullet[\alpha]w'_\alpha.\rho$, then the latter yields $(k' - 2 - j_1 - 1, [w''']_{k'-2-j_1-1}, e'_3[v_1/x], e'_4[v_2/x]) \in E_n^\bullet[\text{bool}]\rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k'-1}^\bullet[\alpha]w'_\alpha.\rho$, which in turns implies the existence of the wanted $(k' - j, w'''')$.
- Showing $(k' - 2 - j_1 - 1, [w''']_{k'-2-j_1-1}, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho, \alpha \mapsto V_{k'-1}^\bullet[\alpha]w'_\alpha.\rho$ decomposes and is shown as in the previous example.

3.3 Double Effect

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 & := \lambda x: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau. (\lambda _ : b. x c) (x c) \\
v_2 & := \lambda x: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau. x c
\end{aligned}$$

We show $\epsilon; \epsilon \vdash v_1 \lesssim^\bullet v_2 : (\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n, (\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet[\Delta]w_0, (k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\bullet[\Gamma]\rho$, and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(v_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(v_2)) \in E_n^\bullet[(\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau]\rho$, i.e., $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet[(\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau]\rho$

- So suppose $(k', w', \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau]\rho$ where $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- To show: $(k', w', (\lambda_.\tau.(\lambda x.e_1) c) ((\lambda x.e_1) c), (\lambda x.e_2) c) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho$
- Suppose $w'.\sigma_1; (\lambda_.\tau.(\lambda x.e_1) c) ((\lambda x.e_1) c)$ terminates in $3 + 2j' =: j < k'$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w'.\sigma_1; (\lambda_.(\lambda x.e_1) c) ((\lambda x.e_1) c) \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w'.\sigma_1; (\lambda_.(\lambda x.e_1) c) e_1[c/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j'} & \sigma'_1; (\lambda_.(\lambda x.e_1) c) v'_1 \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma'_1; (\lambda x.e_1) c \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma'_1; e_1[c/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j'} & \sigma_1; v''_1
\end{aligned}$$

- Let $w'_1 := (\sigma'_1, w'.\sigma_2, w'.\eta, w'.\rho)$, so $(k', w'_1) \sqsupseteq (k', w')$.
- Since we have $(k' - j' - 2, [w'_1]_{k'-j'-2}, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau]\rho$ by Closure Under World Extension and $(k' - j' - 3, [w'_1]_{k'-j'-3}, c, c) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit}]\rho$, we get $(k' - j' - 3, [w'_1]_{k'-j'-3}, e_1[c/x], e_2[c/x]) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k' - j, w'') \sqsupseteq (k' - j' - 3, [w'_1]_{k'-j'-3})$ such that $w'.\sigma_2; e_2[c/x] \hookrightarrow^* w''.\sigma_2; v'_2$ with $w''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k' - j, w'', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho$.

We show $\epsilon; \epsilon \vdash v_2 \lesssim^\bullet v_1 : (\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$:

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet[\Delta]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\bullet[\Gamma]\rho$, and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(v_2), \delta_2\gamma_2(v_1)) \in E_n^\bullet[(\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau]\rho$, i.e., $(k, w, v_2, v_1) \in V_n^\bullet[(\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau]\rho$
- So suppose $(k', w', \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau]\rho$ where $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- To show: $(k', w', (\lambda x.e_1) c, (\lambda_.\tau.(\lambda x.e_2) c) ((\lambda x.e_2) c)) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho$
- Suppose $w'.\sigma_1; (\lambda x.e_1) c$ terminates in $1 + j' =: j < k'$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w'.\sigma_1; (\lambda x.e_1) c \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w'.\sigma_1; e_1[c/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j'} & \sigma_1; v'_1
\end{aligned}$$

- Instantiating $(k', w', \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau]\rho$ with $(k' - 1, [w']_{k'-1}, c, c) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit}]\rho$ yields $(k' - 1, [w']_{k'-1}, e_1[c/x], e_2[c/x]) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho$.
- Consequently there exists $(k' - j, w'') \sqsupseteq (k' - 1, [w']_{k'-1})$ such that $w'.\sigma_2; (\lambda_.(\lambda x.e_2) c) e_2[c/x] \hookrightarrow^* w''.\sigma_2; (\lambda_.(\lambda x.e_2) c) v'_2$.
- Let $w'_2 = (w'.\sigma_1, w''.\sigma_2, w'.\eta, w'.\rho)$, so $(k', w'_2) \sqsupseteq (k', w')$.
- By Closure Under World Extension (2.8) we have $(k', w'_2, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit} \rightarrow \tau]\rho$.
- Instantiating this with $(k' - 1, [w'_2]_{k'-1}, c, c) \in V_n^\bullet[\mathbf{unit}]\rho$ yields $(k' - 1, [w'_2]_{k'-1}, e_1[c/x], e_2[c/x]) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau]\rho$.

- Consequently there exists $(k' - j, w''') \sqsupseteq (k' - 1, [w'_2]_{k'-1})$ such that $w''.\sigma_2; e_2[c/x] \hookrightarrow^* w'''.\sigma_2; v'_2$ with $w'''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k' - j, w''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^\bullet[[\tau]]\rho$.

- Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& w'.\sigma_2; (\lambda_-(\lambda x.e_2) c) ((\lambda x.e_2) c) \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w'.\sigma_2; (\lambda_-(\lambda x.e_2) c) e_2[c/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^* & w''.\sigma_2; (\lambda_-(\lambda x.e_1) c) v'_2 \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w''.\sigma_2; (\lambda x.e_2) c \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w''.\sigma_2; e_2[c/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^* & w'''.\sigma_2; v'_2
\end{aligned}$$

3.4 Effect Order

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1 & := \lambda x_f: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau. \lambda x_g: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau'. \text{let } x'_g = x_g () \text{ in } \langle x_f (), x'_g \rangle \\
v_2 & := \lambda x_f: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau. \lambda x_g: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau'. \langle x_f (), x_g () \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We show $\epsilon; \epsilon \vdash v_1 \lesssim v_2 : (\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau') \rightarrow (\tau \times \tau')$.

- Suppose $w_0 \in \text{World}_n$, $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_n^\bullet[[\Delta]]w_0$, $(k, w, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in G_n^\bullet[[\Gamma]]\rho$, and $(k, w) \sqsupseteq (n, w_0)$.
- To show: $(k, w, \delta_1\gamma_1(v_1), \delta_2\gamma_2(v_2)) \in E_n^\bullet[(\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau') \rightarrow (\tau \times \tau')]\rho$, i.e., $(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\bullet[(\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau') \rightarrow (\tau \times \tau')]\rho$
- So suppose $(k', w', \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau]\rho$ where $(k', w') \sqsupseteq (k, w)$.
- To show: $(k', w', \lambda x_g: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau'. \text{let } x'_g = x_g () \text{ in } \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), x'_g \rangle, \lambda x_g: \text{unit} \rightarrow \tau'. \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), x_g () \rangle) \in V_n^\bullet[(\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau') \rightarrow (\tau \times \tau')]\rho$
- So suppose $(k'', w'', \lambda x.e_3, \lambda x.e_4) \in V_n^\bullet[\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau']\rho$ where $(k'', w'') \sqsupseteq (k', w')$.
- To show: $(k'', w'', \text{let } x'_g = (\lambda x.e_3) () \text{ in } \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), x'_g \rangle, \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), (\lambda x.e_4) () \rangle) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau \times \tau']\rho$
- Suppose $w''.\sigma_1$; let $x'_g = (\lambda x.e_3) ()$ in $\langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), x'_g \rangle$ terminates in $1 + j_1 + 1 + 1 + j_2 =: j < k'$ steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
& w''.\sigma_1; \text{let } x'_g = (\lambda x.e_3) () \text{ in } \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), x'_g \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & w''.\sigma_1; \text{let } x'_g = e_3[()/x] \text{ in } \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), x'_g \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_1} & \sigma'_1; \text{let } x'_g = v'_3 \text{ in } \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), x'_g \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma'_1; \langle (\lambda x.e_1) (), v'_3 \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^1 & \sigma'_1; \langle e_1[()/x], v'_3 \rangle \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_2} & \sigma_1; \langle v'_1, v'_3 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

- Let $(k'_2, w'_2) := (k'' - 1 - j_1 - 1 - 1, (\sigma'_1, w''.\sigma_2, w''.\eta, [w''.\rho]_{k''-1-j_1-1-1}))$, so $(k'_2, w'_2) \sqsupseteq (k'', w'')$.
- Since we have $(k'_2, w'_2, \lambda x.e_1, \lambda x.e_2) \in V_n^\bullet[\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau]\rho$ by Closure Under World Extension and $(k'_2 - 1, [w'_2]_{k'_2-1}, (), ()) \in V_n^\bullet[\text{unit}]\rho$, we get $(k'_2 - 1, [w'_2]_{k'_2-1}, e_1[()/x], e_2[()/x]) \in E_n^\bullet[[\tau]]\rho$.
- Note that $w'_2.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k'' - j, w''') \sqsupseteq (k'_2 - 1, [w'_2]_{k'_2-1})$ such that $w''.\sigma_2; e_2[()/x] \hookrightarrow^* w'''.\sigma_2; v'_2$ with $w'''.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(k'' - j, w''', v'_1, v'_2) \in V_n^\bullet[[\tau]]\rho$.

- Let $(k'_1, w'_1) := (k'' - 1, (w''.\sigma_1, w''_2.\sigma_2, w''.\eta, \lfloor w''.\rho \rfloor_{k''-1}))$, so $(k'_1, w'_1) \sqsupseteq (k'', w'')$.
- Since we have $(k'_1, w'_1, \lambda x.e_3, \lambda x.e_4) \in V_n^\bullet[\text{unit} \rightarrow \tau']\rho$ by Closure Under World Extension and $(k'_1 - 1, \lfloor w'_1 \rfloor_{k'_1-1}, (), ()) \in V_n^\bullet[\text{unit}]\rho$, we get $(k'_1 - 1, \lfloor w'_1 \rfloor_{k'_1-1}, e_3[()/x], e_4[()/x]) \in E_n^\bullet[\tau']\rho$.
- Note that $w'_1.\sigma_1 = w''.\sigma_1$.
- Consequently, there exists $(k'' - 1 - j_1, w'_1) \sqsupseteq (k'_1 - 1, \lfloor w'_1 \rfloor_{k'_1-1})$ such that $w''_2.\sigma_2; e_4[()/x] \hookrightarrow^* w'_1.\sigma_2; v'_4$ with $w'_1.\sigma_1 = \sigma'_1$ and $(k'' - 1 - j_1, w'_1, v'_3, v'_4) \in V_n^\bullet[\tau']\rho$.
- W.l.o.g. $(\text{dom}(w''_1.\eta) \setminus \text{dom}(w'_1.\eta)) \cap (\text{dom}(w''_2.\eta) \setminus \text{dom}(w'_2.\eta)) = \emptyset$, so $w''_1.\eta \cup w''_2.\eta$ and $w''_1.\rho \cup w''_2.\rho$ are well-defined.
- Let $w_3 := (w''_2.\sigma_1, w'_1.\sigma_2, w''_1.\eta \cup w''_2.\eta, w''_1.\rho \cup w''_2.\rho)$.
- It remains to show the injectivity of $w_3.\eta^i$.
- Note that $\text{rng}(w''_1.\eta^i) \setminus \text{rng}(w'_1.\eta^i) \subseteq \text{dom}(w''_1.\sigma_i) \setminus \text{dom}(w'_1.\sigma_i)$ by definition of world extension.
- Similarly, $\text{rng}(w''_2.\eta^i) \setminus \text{rng}(w'_2.\eta^i) \subseteq \text{dom}(w''_2.\sigma_i) \setminus \text{dom}(w'_2.\sigma_i)$ by definition of world extension.
- Suppose $\alpha, \alpha' \in \text{dom}(w_3.\eta)$.
- Case $\alpha, \alpha' \in \text{dom}(w''.\eta)$: Trivial.
- Case $\alpha \in \text{dom}(w''_1.\eta)$ and $\alpha' \in \text{dom}(w'_1.\eta) \setminus \text{dom}(w''_1.\eta)$:
 - Then we know $w_3.\eta^i(\alpha) \in \text{dom}(w''.\sigma_i)$ and $w_3.\eta^i(\alpha') \in \text{dom}(w'_1.\sigma_i) \setminus \text{dom}(w''_1.\sigma_i)$.
 - Since $w'_1.\sigma_i \supseteq w''.\sigma_i$, we have $w_3.\eta^i(\alpha) \neq w_3.\eta^i(\alpha')$.
- Case $\alpha \in \text{dom}(w''_1.\eta) \setminus \text{dom}(w''.\eta)$ and $\alpha' \in \text{dom}(w''_2.\eta) \setminus \text{dom}(w''.\eta)$:
 - Then we know $w_3.\eta^i(\alpha) \in \text{dom}(w''_1.\sigma_i) \setminus \text{dom}(w'_1.\sigma_i)$ and $w_3.\eta^i(\alpha') \in \text{dom}(w''_2.\sigma_i) \setminus \text{dom}(w'_2.\sigma_i)$.
 - For $i = 1$ this means $w_3.\eta^1(\alpha) \in \text{dom}(w''_1.\sigma_1) = \text{dom}(\sigma'_1) = \text{dom}(w'_2.\sigma_1)$, so it cannot equal $w_3.\eta^1(\alpha')$.
 - For $i = 2$ this means $w_3.\eta^2(\alpha) \in \text{dom}(w''_1.\sigma_2) \setminus \text{dom}(w''_2.\sigma_2)$, so it cannot equal $w_3.\eta^2(\alpha')$.

3.5 A Free Theorem

Suppose $\sigma_0 \vdash v : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$. We want to show that either

1. $\sigma; \text{Wr}_{\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}^-(v) \tau v' \uparrow$ for all σ, τ, v' with $\sigma \supseteq \sigma_0$ and $\sigma \vdash v' : \tau$, or
2. $\sigma; \text{Wr}_{\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}^-(v) \tau v' \hookrightarrow^* \sigma'; v'$ for all σ, τ, v' with $\sigma \supseteq \sigma_0$ and $\sigma \vdash v' : \tau$, where σ' may be different each time.

In order to do that, we first show for all σ, τ, v' with $\sigma \supseteq \sigma_0$ and $\sigma \vdash v' : \tau$ that either

1. $\sigma; \text{Wr}_{\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}^-(v) \tau v' \uparrow$, or
2. $\sigma; \text{Wr}_{\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}^-(v) \tau v' \hookrightarrow^* \sigma'; v'$.

- If $\sigma; \text{Wr}^-(v) \tau v' \uparrow$, there is nothing to show.
- So suppose $\sigma; \text{Wr}^-(v) \tau v'$ terminates in $j := j_1 + 1 + j_2 + 1 + j_3$ steps:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\sigma; \text{Wr}^-(v) \tau v' \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_1} \sigma_1; (\Lambda\alpha.e_1) \tau v' \\
\hookrightarrow^1 \sigma_1; e_1[\tau/\alpha] v' \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_2} \sigma_2; (\lambda x.e'_1) v' \\
\hookrightarrow^1 \sigma_2; e'_1[v'/x] \\
\hookrightarrow^{j_3} \sigma_3; v_1
\end{array}$$

- By the Fundamental Property 2.30, $\sigma_0; \epsilon \vdash v \lesssim^\bullet v : \forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$.
- Construct $w_0 \in \text{World}_{j+2}$ and $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_{j+2}[\llbracket\sigma_0\rrbracket]w_0$ in the same manner as in the proof of Soundness (2.32) except that $w_0.\sigma_1 = w_0.\sigma_2 = \sigma$.
- Instantiating $\sigma; \epsilon \vdash v \lesssim^\bullet v : \forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ then yields $(j+1, \llbracket w_0 \rrbracket_{j+1}, v, v) \in V_n[\llbracket\forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\rrbracket\rho]$
- By Wrapping II (2.37) and Inclusion (2.3), $(j+1, \llbracket w_0 \rrbracket_{j+1}, \text{Wr}^-(v), \text{Wr}^-(v)) \in E_n^\circ[\llbracket\forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\rrbracket\rho]$.
- Consequently, there exists $(j+1-j_1, w_1) \sqsupseteq (j+1, \llbracket w_0 \rrbracket_{j+1})$ such that $\sigma; \text{Wr}^-(v) \tau v' \hookrightarrow^* w_1.\sigma_2; (\Lambda\alpha.e_2) \tau v'$ with $w_1.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $(j+1-j_1, w_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in V_n^\circ[\llbracket\forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\rrbracket\rho]$.
- Let $R := \{(k, w, v', v') \in \text{Atom}_{j+1-j_1}[\sigma^*(\tau), \sigma^*(\tau)]\}$ and $r := (\rho^1(\tau), \rho^2(\tau), R)$, so $(\tau, \tau, r) \in T_{j+1-j_1}^\circ[\llbracket\Omega\rrbracket]w_1$.
- Instantiate $(j+1-j_1, w_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_1, \Lambda\alpha.e_2) \in V_n^\circ[\llbracket\forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\rrbracket\rho]$ to get $(j+1-j_1-1, \llbracket w_1 \rrbracket_{j+1-j_1-1}, e_1[\tau/\alpha], e_2[\tau/\alpha]) \in E_n^\circ[\llbracket\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\rrbracket\rho, \alpha \mapsto r]$.
- Consequently, there exists $(j+1-j_1-1-j_2, w_2) \sqsupseteq (j+1-j_1-1, \llbracket w_1 \rrbracket_{j+1-j_1-1})$ such that $w_1.\sigma_2; e_2[\tau/\alpha] v' \hookrightarrow^* w_2.\sigma_2; (\lambda x.e'_2) v'$ with $w_2.\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ and $(j+1-j_1-1-j_2, w_2, \lambda x.e'_1, \lambda x.e'_2) \in V_n^\circ[\llbracket\alpha \rightarrow \alpha\rrbracket\rho, \alpha \mapsto r]$.
- Since $(j+1-j_1-1-j_2-1, \llbracket w_2 \rrbracket, v', v') \in \llbracket R \rrbracket = V_n^\circ[\llbracket\alpha\rrbracket\rho, \alpha \mapsto r]$, we get $(j+1-j_1-1-j_2-1, \llbracket w_2 \rrbracket, e'_1[v'/x], e'_2[v'/x]) \in E_n^\circ[\llbracket\alpha\rrbracket\rho, \alpha \mapsto r]$.
- Consequently, there exists $(1, w_3) \sqsupseteq (j+1-j_1-1-j_2-1, \llbracket w_2 \rrbracket)$ such that $w_2.\sigma_2; e'_2[v'/x] \hookrightarrow^* w_3.\sigma_2; v_2$ with $w_3.\sigma_1 = \sigma_3$ and $(1, w_3, v_1, v_2) \in V_n^\circ[\llbracket\alpha\rrbracket\rho, \alpha \mapsto r] = \llbracket R \rrbracket$.
- Hence $v_1 = v_2 = v'$ by construction of R .

With the help of this lemma, we now prove the actual claim by contradiction.

- By the first part we know that if any such $\sigma; \text{Wr}_{\forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha}^-(v) \tau v'$ terminates, then the result will indeed be v' .
- Suppose $\sigma_1; \text{Wr}^-(v) \tau_1 v_1 \hookrightarrow^j \sigma'_1; v_1$ but $\sigma_2; \text{Wr}^-(v) \tau_2 v_2 \uparrow$.
- By the Fundamental Property 2.30, $\sigma; \epsilon \vdash v \lesssim^\bullet v : \forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$.

- Construct $w_0 \in \text{World}_{j+2}$ and $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \rho) \in D_{j+2}[\sigma_0]w_0$ in the same manner as in the proof of Soundness (2.32) except that $w_0.\sigma_1 = \sigma_1$ and $w_0.\sigma_2 = \sigma_2$.
- Proceed as in the first part but pick $R := \{(k, w, v_1, v_2) \in \text{Atom}_{j+1-j_1}[\sigma_1^*(\tau_1), \sigma_2^*(\tau_2)]\}$ and $r := (\sigma_1^*(\tau_1), \sigma_2^*(\tau_2), R)$, so $(\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \in T_{j+1-j_1}^\circ[\Omega]w_1$.
- In the end we learn that $\sigma_2; \text{Wr}^-(v) \tau_2 v_2$ must terminate, too, contradicting the assumption.